

FOUR-BAND THERMAL MOSAICKING: A NEW METHOD TO PROCESS THERMAL IMAGERY FROM UAV FLIGHT

YICHEN YANG

YALE SCHOOL OF FORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

OUTLINE

- Background
- Objectives
- Methodology
- Results
- Calibration & Assessment
- Conclusion

BACKGROUND

 Unmanned Aircraft Vehicle (UAV) has become a reliable observing platform for environmental remote sensing applications, including wildfire mapping (Ambrosia, 2011), atmospheric studies (Fladeland, 2011), precision agriculture (Hunt, 2005), etc.

UAV has unique ability for acquisition of high temporal resolution data at very high spatial resolution.

BACKGROUND

Mosaicking: a technique that can combine or merge multiple images by detecting the features they have in common.
 Mosaicking algorithm: Structure-from-Motion (SfM), from tie

points to 3D model then to orthomosaic.

□ Mosaicking application: Agisoft Photoscan, Pix4D

BACKGROUND

□Chanllenge: thermal mosaicking

| Visible band | Thermal band | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| High resolution | Low resolution | Hotarea |
| Multi-band contrast | Single-band contrast | |
| Rich texture | Smooth texture | Infrared TIR Temperature |
| With/without GPS & GCPs | With GPS & GCPs | Werm |
| λ | Limited sampled area | |
| Easy to georeference | Hard to georeference | Hot 0 2.5 5 10 15 Meters |

(Nishar, 2016)

OBJECTIVES

Given the importance of applying thermal imaging technology in local climate change (Coutts, 2016), seismology (Li, 2011), forestry (Nishar, 2016), etc., this project aims to design a new method to process and mosaic thermal images acquired from UAV flight.
 This new method should make up for all the flaws I have mentioned in

the traditional workflow.

OBJECTIVES

Design a processing workflow to bypass the difficulty of mosaicking single-band thermal imagery.

The final product, thermal orthomosaic, should lose no sampled area as

opposed to the visible orthomosaic.

□ Figure out how to calibrate the temperature map and then validate the calibration.

I. Overview: Four-band Thermal Mosaicking



Figure. Overview

2. Study area



Figure. The satellite map of Beaver Pond Park (41.329522, -72.941263), New Haven, CT 06511. The green area is approximately where we did the UAV flight mission.

3. Instrument & flight



Synchronized visible and thermal images, I pic/s. 440 images collected.

(a)



Figure. The (a) DJI Phantom 4 Quadcopter and (b) Flir DUO R dual-sensor thermal camera, which has the resolution 1920 by 1080 for visible lens and 160 by 120 for thermal lens.

4. The workflow of Four-band Thermal Mosaicking



Figure. Up-sampling the coarse thermal image and cropping the same size of edges from the visible image.

4. Running SfM algorithm in Pix4D

| | Enabled | Name | Central Wave Length [nm] | Band Width [nm] | | | | | | Weight |
|----------|---|------|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1 🗹 Red | | Red | 660.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.2126 | | | | | |
| 2 | Green 5 | | 550.0 | | 0.7152 | | | | | |
| 3 🗸 Blue | | Blue | 470.0 | | 0.0722 | | | | | |
| 4 | Image: A start of the start of | IR | 1000.0 | 0.0 | 0.0000 | | | | | |
| | | , | Clear Estimate f Narning: Wrong parameter | rom EXIF Loa s can cause failure i | ad Optimized I n the reconstru | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or | nation. Fast Readout | 0 | |
| | | | Clear Estimate f Narning: Wrong parameter Perspective Lens | rom EXIF Los s can cause failure i Fisheye Lens | ad Optimized I n the reconstrues | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or | n ation. Fast Readout | 0 | |
| | | | Clear Estimate f Warning: Wrong parameter Perspective Lens Image Width [pixel]: Image Height [pixel]: | rom EXIF Los s can cause failure i Fisheye Lens 1920 1080 | ad Optimized I n the reconstru- | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: Sensor Width [mrr Sensor Height [mr | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or n]: 36 m]: 20.25 | nation. Fast Readout | 0 | |
| ti | ngs. | | Clear Estimate f Warning: Wrong parameter Perspective Lens Image Width [pixel]: Image Height [pixel]: | rom EXIF Los s can cause failure i Fisheye Lens 1920 1080 | ad Optimized I | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: Sensor Width [mr Sensor Height [mr Pixel Size [µm]: | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or n]: 36 m]: 20.25 18.75 | nation. Fast Readout | 0 | |
| :ti | ngs. | | Clear Estimate f Warning: Wrong parameter Perspective Lens Image Width [pixel]: Image Height [pixel]: Focal Length [pixel]: | rom EXIF Loa s can cause failure i Fisheye Lens 1920 1080 1498.67 | ad Optimized I | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: Sensor Width [mr Sensor Height [mr Pixel Size [µm]: Focal Length [mm | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or n]: 36 m]: 20.25 18.75 18.75 | nation. Fast Readout | 0 | Check |
| :ti | ngs. | | Clear Estimate f Narning: Wrong parameter Perspective Lens Image Width [pixel]: Image Height [pixel]: Focal Length [pixel]: Principal Point x [pixel] | rom EXIF Loa s can cause failure i Fisheye Lens 1920 1080 1498.67]: 960 | ad Optimized I n the reconstru- s | Parameters uction. Read the H Shutter Model: Sensor Width [mm Sensor Height [mm Pixel Size [µm]: Focal Length [mm Principal Point x [r | lelp for more inform Global Shutter or n]: 36 m]: 20.25 18.75 ı): 28.1 mm]: 18 | nation. Fast Readout | 0 | Check |

EXIFTOOL

Figure. In-APP settings.



Figure. In-APP settings.

5. Results

- Identical pixel size
- Identical image dimension
- Identical sampled area
- **Allows** pixel-by-pixel analyses

Figure. Visible orthomosaic and thermal orthomosaic



CALIBRATION

I. Radiometric calibration: synchronous measurement



Figure. Synchronous measurement: (a) is the themometer we used, and (b) is the visible image that has captured the "action" of measurement.



CALIBRATION

2. Position calibration: source of error

The visible lens is about 3 cm away from the thermal lens so they actually cover different area, but we still registered the images by midline.

There should be shutter delay between the lenses, although they are almost synchronized. The delay will lead to misalignment in different directions as the UAV was moving back and forth.

□ Other misalignment due to systematic error.

CALIBRATION



Figure. (a) The green triangle is the FOV of visible lens represented by "V", with the green arrow as its sight line. The yellow triangle is the FOV of thermal lens represented by "T", with the yellow arrow as its sight line. (b) The total misalignment of a 30 m high object on the base map.



Figure. Visualizing the object-based calibration





(a)

(b)

Figure. The linked view in ENVI to show the consistency of thermal orthomosaic and visible orthomosaic: (a) The misalignment before the object-based calibration. (b) The misalignment after the object-based calibration.



Figure.13 The rule-based classification of the visible orthomosaic (left part) and the histograms of corresponding clusters (right part) in thermal band.

VALIDATION

I.Validating the object-based calibration

15



| | Transect | Horizontal | Vertical | Main-diagonal | Anti-diagonal |
|-----|----------|------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | T1 | -25 | -26 | -18 | 18 |
| | T2 | -5 | -4 | 0 | 21 |
| | Т3 | -5 | 19 | -16 | -7 |
| 184 | T4 | -4 | 4 | -5 | 4 |
| | Т5 | 4 | -2 | 11 | 3 |
| | Т6 | 1 | -7 | 3 | 3 |
| | Т7 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -7 |
| | Т8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Т9 | 6 | -18 | 3 | -3 |
| | T10 | 4 | -6 | 9 | -6 |
| | RMS | 8.72 | 12.52 | 9.10 | 9.71 |

CONCLUSION

- The Four-band Thermal Mosaicking is proved to be a reliable method to bypass the difficulty of mosaicking single-band thermal imagery.
- The generated thermal orthomosaic has exactly the same resolution and sampled area compared to the visible orthomosaic. Therefore, the Four-band Thermal Mosaicking make it possible to do pixel-by-pixel analysis between orthomosaics.
- Via cluster analysis, we validated that the temperature map can reflect the difference in thermal property.
 The object-based calibration is an effective method to minimize the misalignment regardless of the error source.

The future work should focus on: (1) more rigorous radiometric calibration, in which the difference between emissivity of the objects should be considered. (2) quantification of the position error caused by shutter delay. (3) more solid validation of thermal representativeness.



ThankYou?