

Outline



1. Background

Motivations

✤ Current and future urbanization

- Urban population 55% today; 70% in 2050; 85% in 2100
- ✤ Role of urban and urbanization
 - Drivers of development and innovation
 - ~80% GDP; ~70% energy use
- ✤ Dynamics and heterogeneity
 - An improved understanding of urban environment





Interactions between urban and natural systems



Urban boundary

- Basic units for urban studies
- ✤ 2D urban form
- Dynamics: process of urban expansion





Existing studies

- Density analysis combined with clustering (Vizzari et al., 2018) \succ
- Land use entropy model with Kriging interpretation approach (Hu et al., 2015)
- Kernel density combining wavelet transform (Peng et al., 2016)
- Density of urban infrastructures and population (US Census Bureau) \succ
- > NTL derived urban boundaries (*Zhou et al., 2018*)



(Hu et al., 2015)

2. The GAIA data

Mapping of global annual urban extent dynamics

Post processing



Highlights:

- ✤ All modules complied on GEE
- Can be updated annually in time
- Can be applied to other satellite data (e.g., Sentinel)

Gong et al. (2020)



1985-2018 (annual)

GAIA: Global Annual Impervious Area





Resolution: 30m Source: *Tsinghua University* Gong et al. (2020)

Improved mapping approach in arid areas

- Primary dataset: Landsat surface reflectance data (i.e., TM, ETM+, and OLI)
- Ancillary dataset: VIIRS nighttime light (NTL) data & Sentinel-1 GRD data
- * Key: introduce a potential urban mask using NTL and Sentinel data



Urban Extent (2018) Annual Urban Extent Dynamics (1985-2015)

Improved mapping approach in arid areas



3. Urban boundary delineation

Overall framework

✤ Region: global

***** Temporal periods: 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2018



Kernel density map

✤ aggregate 30m GAIA data to 1km as the percentage of impervious surface area

generate the kernel density (KD) map based on the ISA results (bandwidth: 5km)



More smoothed surface in urban domain

Boundary (rough)

- macro-scale (kernel density >20% as urban) (1km)
- micro-scale (neighborhood density; densities larger than 20% as urban; looply run for three times;
 11x11 Window ~ 1km) (30m)
- merge derived urban boundaries from these two results



KDE derived result

CA derived result

Boundary (detail) & Post-processing

- focus on urban fringe areas (using morphological method; 7x7 window);
- ✤ (1) dilation (merge urban pixels if they are close)
- (2) erosion (erode the dilated regions)
- ✤ identify different objects
- ✤ (1) remove smaller urban clusters (<1km²)
- ✤ (2) derive the boundary (raster -> shape file)
- ✤ (3) remove small holes



4. Results and discussion

Compare with NTL derived results

NTL: urban extent map derived from DMSP/OLS NTL data (Zhou et al., 2018)



Dallas, TX, US (150km x 150km) GUB: global urban boundary More details can be revealed in GUB

Compare with NTL derived results

NTL: urban extent map derived from DMSP/OLS NTL data (Zhou et al., 2018)



Beijing, China (150km x 150km) GUB: global urban boundary More details can be revealed in GUB

Compare with interpreted results

Interpreted Results: from Landsat images (Wang et al., 2012)



Shenyang, China (60km x 60km)

Good agreement

Compare with interpreted results

Interpreted Results: from Landsat images (Wang et al., 2012)



Wuhan, China (60km x 60km)

Good agreement

Area comparison at the national scale



- Each dot represent the delineated urban boundary
- In China: slightly overestimated than interpreted results
- In US: slightly underestimated than interpreted results;
- GUB: consistent mapping approach

and definitions

Change of urban boundaries

Changsha, China



The mapping approach can well capture the trend of urban extent dynamics

Change of urban boundaries

Des Moines, US



The mapping approach can well capture the trend of urban extent dynamics

Urban boundary in different cities



Underneath: Google Earth High Resolution Image

Global pattern of urban clusters



Urban Size: the area of delineated urban clusters

US has larger urban clusters than China

Area – rank relationship

Included clusters: urban area > 1000 km²



- ✤ Zip's law relationship
- ✤ From 1990 to 2018, increased number of large urban clusters
- Same rand order with notably increased urban areas over past decades

Ratio: ISA / Urban Area

US – Dallas (150km x 150km)



Google Earth Image

Urban Boundary Urban Boundary (before remove small holes) (after remove small holes)

Ratio: ISA / Urban Area = 69%

Ratio: ISA / Urban Area

✤ Ratio: ISA/Urban, from 53% to 60%, showing a consistent increasing trend from 1990 to 2018.

Low ISA ratio: smaller urban cluster; Larger ISA ratio: larger urban clusters

5. Summary

- Long term and fine resolution urban clusters
- The delineated boundary agrees well with the urban extent
- Serve as basic spatial unit and support for global studies

Thank You

清華大学 Tsinghua University

