Interpreting the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of carbon dioxide in an urban airshed in the Yangtze River Delta, China
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Abstract. Observations of atmospheric CO$_2$ mole fraction and the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio (expressed as $\delta^{13}$C) in urban airsheds provide constraints on the roles of anthropogenic and natural sources and sinks in local and regional carbon cycles. In this study, we report observations of these quantities in Nanjing at hourly intervals from March 2013 to August 2015, using a laser-based optical instrument. Nanjing is the second largest city located in the highly industrialized Yangtze River Delta (YRD), eastern China. The mean CO$_2$ mole fraction and $\delta^{13}$C were (439.7 ± 7.5) µmol mol$^{-1}$ and ($-8.48$ ± $0.56$) ‰ over this observational period. The peak monthly mean $\delta^{13}$C ($-7.44$ ‰, July 2013) was $0.74$ ‰ higher than that observed at Mount Waliguan, a WMO (World Meteorological Organization) baseline site on the Tibetan Plateau and upwind of the YRD region. The highly $^{13}$C-enriched signal was partly attributed to the influence of cement production in the region. By applying the Miller–Tans method to nighttime and daytime observations to represent signals from the city of Nanjing and the YRD, respectively, we showed that the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of CO$_2$ sources in the Nanjing municipality was $(0.21$ ± $0.53)$ ‰ lower than that in the YRD. Flux partitioning calculations revealed that natural ecosystems in the YRD were a negligibly small source of atmospheric CO$_2$. 

1 Introduction

Atmospheric CO$_2$ sources and sinks in urban areas consist mainly of plant uptake and release, and fossil fuel combustion. These contributors have unique $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratios. City clusters are human-dominated systems with high carbon emission intensity, contributing over 70 % of the total anthropogenic CO$_2$ to the atmosphere (Satterthwaite, 2008). Previous urban isotopic studies emphasize carbon emissions from fossil combustion (Zondervan and Meijer, 1996; Pataki et al., 2003a; Zimnoch et al., 2004; Affek and Eiler, 2006; Newman et al., 2008). Relatively little attention is given to the $\delta^{13}$C of carbon dioxide released by cement production, which is much higher than that of fossil fuel origin (Andres et al., 1994). Likewise, the CO$_2$ emitted from the burning of minerals in non-energy consumption industrial processes, such as iron and steel production, has higher $\delta^{13}$C than that of fossil fuel (Table 2; Widory, 2006). In China, cement production and industrial processes contribute 13 % of the total anthropogenic CO$_2$ emission (Mu et al., 2013). Many of these industrial activities occur in or near urban areas. So far, little is known about their roles in the atmospheric carbon stable isotope budget.

One scientific motivation for quantifying the $\delta^{13}$C of atmospheric CO$_2$ is that it provides constraints that allow partitioning of the net surface flux into component fluxes (Farquhar and Lloyd, 1993; Yakir and Sternberg, 2000; Pataki et al., 2003b). The $^{13}$C-based partitioning method has been used primarily for vegetation ecosystems, such as forests...
Because of strong atmospheric mixing in the daytime, the composition of the surface source varies and is strongly through the diel cycle (McDonald et al., 2014), and unaffected by regional carbon sources (Pataki et al., 2003b). The δ13C and δ12C time. It also assumes that changes in the CO2 concentration and emission ratio of the sources is invariant with time. Assumptions that the isotopic ratio of the local CO2 is determined as

\[ \delta_s C_a - \delta_b C_b = \delta S (C_a - C_b). \]  

where C_a is CO2 mole fraction in urban air, C_s is CO2 mole fraction at a background site, \( \delta_s \) is the \( \delta^{13}C \) value of C_a, and \( \delta_b \) is the \( \delta^{13}C \) value of C_b. All the variables are time dependent. Because this approach takes into account the fact the background atmosphere varies, it may be more suitable than the Keeling method for inferring \( \delta S \) from the observations made in the urban area with complex emission sources. The method has been applied to local and regional carbon budget studies in nonurban settings (Miller et al., 2003) and in an urban environment by Newman et al. (2016). Here we extend the method to continuous measurements in an urban environment.

In this study, we report the results of long-term (30 months) continuous measurement of atmospheric CO2 mole fraction and \( \delta^{13}C \) at a suburban site in Nanjing using an IRIS instrument. Nanjing is the second largest city in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), Eastern China, with a built-up area of 753 km² and a population of 8.2 million. Geographically, the YRD includes the provinces of Jiangsu, Zhejiang, and Anhui, and the Shanghai municipality (29.04 to 33.41° N, 118.33 to 122.95° E) with a population of 190 million. The YRD is influenced by subtropical moist monsoon climate. The mean annual temperature is about 15°C and the annual precipitation is between 1000 and 1800 mm. The vegetation types are all C3 species. The YRD is the most industrialized region in China and had a higher urban land fraction of 10.8 %, as of 2014, than the global mean (2.4 %, Akbari et al., 2009). In 2014, more than 220 large cement production factories (daily output exceeding 1000 t) were located in the YRD (China Cement, 2016), contributing about 20 % of the national cement output.

The objectives of this study are (1) to characterize the atmospheric \( \delta^{13}C \) diel and seasonal and annual variations in this urban environment in a region where such measurement is nonexistent; (2) to investigate the influence of cement production on atmospheric \( \delta^{13}C \); (3) to evaluate the performance of the Keeling plot and the Miller–Tans methods for determining \( \delta S \); and (4) to explore the utility of the isotopic constraints for inferring the net surface flux and the plant CO2 flux in Nanjing and in the YRD.

2 Methods

2.1 Atmospheric observation

An IRIS analyzer (model G1101-i, Picarro Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) was used to measure atmospheric CO2 mole frac-
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Table 1. Standard gases used for instrument calibration. The mean and standard deviation of the CO$_2$ mole fraction and $\delta^{13}$C were based on six and five repeated measurements, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>CO$_2$ (µmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Low</td>
<td>381.89 ± 0.99</td>
<td>−29.75 ± 0.27</td>
<td>Mar 2013–Aug 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 High</td>
<td>502.35 ± 0.28</td>
<td>−30.01 ± 0.18</td>
<td>Mar 2013–Aug 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Low</td>
<td>380.92 ± 0.95</td>
<td>−29.75 ± 0.27</td>
<td>Sep 2014–Aug 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 High</td>
<td>501.05 ± 0.33</td>
<td>−30.01 ± 0.18</td>
<td>Sep 2014–Aug 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measurement was made at 0.3 Hz and at an air flow rate of 30 mL min$^{-1}$ at standard temperature and pressure. One three-way solenoid valve was combined with two two-way solenoid valves, so the analyzer could be switched for atmospheric sampling and for sampling of two standard gases. Calibration was carried out every 3 h by sampling each standard gas for 5 min following the procedure of Bowling et al., (2003) and Wen et al., (2013). To avoid transient effects, only the data collected in the last 2 min of the 5 min calibration periods were used (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Table 1 lists the concentrations and their isotopic ratios of the standard gases used in this study. These gases were balanced in the air, and their concentration values bracketed the range of ambient concentration variability (Fig. 3). Their CO$_2$ mole fractions were measured with a gas analyzer (model G1301, Picarro) and calibrated against three primary standards obtained from NOAA-ESRL, which were traceable to the WMO (World Meteorological Organization) 2007 scale reported by the Central Calibration Laboratory of the World Meteorological Organization, and their $\delta^{13}$C values were measured with a mass spectrometer (MAT-253, Finnigan) using IAEA reference materials IAEA-CO-8 ($−5.76$ ‰ VPDB) and IAEA-CO-9 ($−47.32$ ‰ VPDB). The mass spectrometer measurements of these reference materials were ($−5.81 ± 0.04$) and ($−46.64 ± 0.08$ ‰). The ambient measurement was averaged to hourly intervals. The isotopic ratio was expressed in the delta notation ($\delta^{13}$C) in reference to the VPDB scale.

The typical 5 min measurement precision is 0.3 ‰ for $\delta^{13}$C and 0.05 µmol mol$^{-1}$ for CO$_2$ mole fraction, according to the instrument manufacturer. Our own Allan variance analysis revealed a precision of 0.05 ‰ for $\delta^{13}$C and 0.07 µmol mol$^{-1}$ for CO$_2$ mole fraction at the hourly averaging interval. These improvements were simply statistical, due to the increase in the number of samples being used for averaging. The precision of the ambient measurement was lower than this due to errors propagated through the calibration procedure. According to a laboratory test on an analyzer of the same model and using the same calibration procedure as ours, the hourly $\delta^{13}$C precision is about 0.4 ‰ (Wen et al., 2013).

The calibration gases had much lower $\delta^{13}$C than the ambient delta values. The mismatch in the delta value between the calibration standard and the ambient air is common in applications of the IRIS technique ($^{13}$C(CH$_4$), Röckmann et al., 2016; $^{18}$O(H$_2$O), Lee et al., 2005; $^{13}$C(CO$_2$), Bowling et al., 2003). For example, Bowling et al. (2003) used calibration standards with delta values of $−29.55$ and $−40.58$ ‰ to calibrate their optical $^{13}$C(CO$_2$) instrument. But because the system measures the concentrations of the major and the minor isotopologue independently, it is not critical that the calibration standards and the measurement target have matching isotope ratios, as long as the standards bracket concentration variability of the target (Bowling et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the overall accuracy of the measurement may be further improved by using calibration standards that bracket variations in both the concentration and the delta values of ambient air samples. We could not implement this improved calibration procedure because our analyzer failed at the end of the experiment.

We did not adopt the strict filtering technique used for background sites (Thoning et al., 1989) because of high natural variations in urban airsheds. We removed the first 3 min of the data after switching to the ambient sampling mode from the calibration mode. Additionally, data were removed if the average hourly CO$_2$ mole fraction was lower than 390 µmol mol$^{-1}$ (or 30 µmol mol$^{-1}$ lower than the midafternoon value in the summer; Fig. 3) or $\delta^{13}$C was out of the range between $−15$ and $−5.5$ ‰ (or about 3 SD from the mean); a total of 217 hourly values were removed by these outlier criteria.

The $\delta^{13}$C value measured by the analyzer in high-humidity conditions is biased higher due to spectral broadening and direct spectral interference (Relia, 2011). To correct for the humidity interference, we carried out two tests using a dew-point generator (model 610, LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE). A CO$_2$ standard gas (secondary standard gas, 439 µmol mol$^{-1}$ in test one and 488 µmol mol$^{-1}$ in test two, balanced by dry air) was fed into the dew-point generator. The outlet of the dew-point generator was connected with a three-way union with one end linked to the inlet of the analyzer and the other end open to the room. The humidity level of the air coming out of the dew point generator was regulated at eight levels in a dew-point temperature range of 1 to 30°C, giving a water vapor mole fraction ranging from 0.66 to 4.26 % (0.66 to 4.26 cmol mol$^{-1}$). Measurement at each humidity
level lasted 30 min, with the first 5 min excluded from the analysis. Because the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of the standard gas was constant, any observed variations were caused by the humidity artifact. We found that no correction was needed for our analyzer if the water vapor mole fraction was below 2.03%. Above this humidity level, the measurement was biased high by 0.46% for every 1 cmol mol$^{-1}$ increase in the water vapor mole fraction (Fig. 1). This humidity effect is not negligible, but is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by Rella (2011). The two tests, taken 8 months apart, yielded essentially the same result. The correction equation is

$$\delta^{13}C = \delta^{13}C_{\text{true}}, C(H_2O) \leq 2.03\%,$$

$$\delta^{13}C = \delta^{13}C_{\text{true}} + 0.46\% (C(H_2O) - 2.03\%),$$

where $C(H_2O)$ is water vapor mole fraction and was measured by the same isotope analyzer, $\delta^{13}C$ is the measured isotope delta value (after the two-point calibration), and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{true}}$ is the true isotope delta value. The ambient vapor mole fraction varied from 0.16 to 3.64% during the measurement period. About 35% of the observations exceeded the threshold mole fraction of 2.03% and required correction. The largest hourly correction was 0.74 ‰. In the following, we used the tropospheric CO$_2$ mole ratio calculated by CarbonTracker over the YRD region (altitude 3330 m; https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/data/index.php) as the background concentration ($C_b$). The CarbonTracker mole ratio is on average 3.5 µmol mol$^{-1}$ higher than that observed at WLG. To overcome the problem that CarbonTracker does not calculate tropospheric $\delta^{13}C$, we rearranged Eq. (1) to a form that allows an intercept but without the need for a known background $\delta^{13}C$, such as

$$\delta_aC_a = \delta_S(C_a - C_b) + \delta_bC_b.$$  

The $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of the surface source was taken as the slope of the linear regression of $\delta_aC_a$ against ($C_a - C_b$). A key difference between Eq. (3) here and Eq. (5) of Miller and Tans (2003) is that the $\delta_S$ appears only in the slope parameter in Eq. (3) but in both the slope and the intercept parameter in Miller and Tans’ (2003) Eq. (5). This modified Miller–Tans analysis requires knowledge of background CO$_2$ mole fraction but not $\delta_b$.

The Miller–Tans analysis was performed over monthly intervals, using the data collected in daytime hours (10:00 to 16:00 LT – local time) to represent YRD and data collected during nighttime hours (22:00–06:00 LT) to represent Nanjing. Morning and evening transitional periods were omitted to avoid the confounding effects of sign change of the biological CO$_2$ flux and sudden changes in the atmospheric stability regime.

We interpreted the daytime results to represent the influence of surface sources in the YRD region and the nighttime results to represent the influence of surface sources in the Nanjing municipality. The vigorous turbulent exchange in the daytime boundary layer diminishes the role of lo-$

Figure 1. Dependence of the observed $\delta^{13}C$ on the H$_2$O mole fraction. The lines represent Eq. (2). Error bars are ±1 SD of 1 min averages. The data in the left panel were obtained on 1 October 2014 using a 439 µmol mol$^{-1}$ standard gas and the true $\delta^{13}C$ value of $-32.8\%\epsilon$, and those in the right panel on 10 June 2015 using a 488 µmol mol$^{-1}$ standard gas and the true $\delta^{13}C$ value of $-34.1\%\epsilon$.
cal sources in the measured concentration and isotopic ratio. In other words, the daytime measurement has a much larger source footprint than the size of the urban land itself or the footprint of the nighttime measurement. In contrast, the buildup of CO$_2$ at night is primarily the result of sources in the city (Shen et al., 2014), so we considered the $\delta_S$ determined from the nighttime observations to represent the signal of the sources located in the city. Admittedly, this interpretation of daytime versus nighttime source areas is a simplification because the actual source area also depends on thermal stratification and boundary layer wind. Nevertheless, it is supported by a trajectory analysis and by an analysis of the atmospheric methane to CO$_2$ emissions ratio (Shen et al., 2014).

For the purpose of comparing with the Miller–Tans results, we also estimated the source $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio using the Keeling mixing line method. According to Wehr and Saleska (2017), for the measurement uncertainties of our instrument system, the ordinary least squares procedure has much lower bias errors of parameter estimation than the geometric mean regression. In the following, the results of both the Miller–Tans method and the Keeling mixing line method were based on the ordinary least squares regression.

### 2.3 Inventory of anthropogenic sources

We calculated the anthropogenic CO$_2$ fluxes from energy consumption and industrial process following the SCOPE 1 procedure issued by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI, 2008). The procedure considers only emissions from sources that lie within the geographic boundary of investigation. The energy consumption source consists of direct emissions from the three main energy consumption sectors (industry, transport, and household). We ignored the commerce sector here because the main energy consumption in this sector in Nanjing and in the YRD was electric power generated by coal and coal consumption, which was already considered in SCOPE 1. The amounts of CO$_2$ emission were estimated with the IPCC methodology adopting the emission factors for each fossil fuel type recommended by IPCC. The calculations were done separately for the YRD region and for the Nanjing municipality. Since no statistical data were available for energy consumption in the transport sector in Nanjing, the CO$_2$ emission from the transport sector was deduced according to vehicle number, average annual driving distance and coefficients of fuel economy (Bi et al., 2011). We obtained the data on energy consumption from official sources (CESY, 2013; CSY, 2013; NSY, 2013).

The non-energy industrial processes included cement, raw iron, crude steel, and ammonia synthesis processes. In the YRD, the data were available at monthly intervals. For the city of Nanjing, only annual statistics were available.

### 2.4 Partitioning the net surface flux

We partitioned the surface CO$_2$ flux ($F_S$) into three component fluxes according to the following mass conservation equations:

$$F_S = F_F + F_C + F_P,$$

$$\delta_S F_S = \delta_S F_F + \delta_S F_C + \delta_S F_P,$$

where $F_F$ is the flux from fossil fuel combustion and industrial emission except cement production (termed “fossil plus”); $F_C$ is the flux due to cement production; $F_P$ is the biological flux; and $\delta_F$, $\delta_C$, and $\delta_P$ are the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C value of $F_F$, $F_C$, and $F_P$, respectively. These CO$_2$ mass fluxes are obtained by dividing the total emission by the surface area within the geographic boundary of Nanjing or the YRD, having dimensions of mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. We separated the cement source from other non-energy consumption industrial processes because its $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio is much higher. In these equations, the monthly net surface flux ($F_S$) and the biological flux ($F_P$) are unknowns to be solved for, and all other terms are provided either by the atmospheric measurement or by the inventory calculation. The partitioning analysis was done for both Nanjing and the YRD using the nighttime and daytime observations, respectively.

The value for $\delta_F$ was the weighted average of the isotope ratio of individual fuel types and industrial processes (Table 2). The delta value of CO$_2$ from cement production is provided by Anders et al. (1994). We adopt a value of $(−28.2 \pm 2)\%$ for $\delta_P$ for the YRD and Nanjing, on account of a linear relationship between $\delta_P$ and tree age (Fessenden and Ehleringer, 2002), a typical tree age in this region (40 years), and a U-shaped relationship between $\delta_P$ and annual precipitation (Pataki et al., 2007). Our $\delta_P$ is more negative than that reported for a boreal forest $(−26.2 \pm 2)\%$; Pataki et al., 2007) but is in closer agreement with the value reported for a ginkgo tree in Nanjing $(−29.3 \pm 2)\%$; Sun et al., 2003). A summary of the isotopic ratios of the four source categories is given in Table 3.

Uncertainties in the delta values of the different fuel types and industrial processes were based on the data found in the references listed in Table 2. The uncertainty in $\delta_P$ was assumed to be $±1.00 \%$ (Verdag et al., 2016). The mass flux terms $F_F$ and $F_C$ were assumed to have a 10% uncertainty, which is typical of fossil fuel consumption data (Vardag et al., 2016).

To partition the nighttime flux for Nanjing, we assumed that the nighttime $F_F$ was 20% of the daily value. The parameter 20% was determined by the diel variation of the CO$_2$ flux observed with an eddy covariance system in Nanjing (Bai, 2011) and in several other cities (Coultts et al., 2007; Song and Wang, 2011; Liu et al., 2012). At night, most of the factories in the city were closed and the traffic flow was reduced to about 80% of the daytime volume (Yang et al., 2011).
Table 2. Percentage of “fossil-plus” sources and their $\delta^{13}C$ values for the YRD and Nanjing. The uncertainty in the total “fossil-plus” source is a weighted mean of the individual uncertainties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YRD</td>
<td>Nanjing</td>
<td>YRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>$-25.46 \pm 0.39$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>$-28.69 \pm 0.50$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$-28.93 \pm 0.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel oil</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$-29.32 \pm 0.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$-39.06 \pm 1.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$-31.70 \pm 0.40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig iron</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>$-24.90 \pm 0.40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude steel</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$-25.28 \pm 0.40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia synthesis</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>$-28.18 \pm 0.55$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$-26.36 \pm 0.42$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Inventory data for the isotopic composition of surface CO$_2$ sources and their percentage of contribution in the YRD and in Nanjing. Here the “fossil-plus” category includes all non-cement anthropogenic emissions listed in Table 2. The cement isotopic ratio is based on Andres et al. (1994). The approximate range in the biological isotope ratio is based on Vardag et al. (2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YRD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fossil-plus”</td>
<td>$-26.36 \pm 0.42$</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>0 $\pm$ 0.30</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 $\pm$ 0.30</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>$-23.99 \pm 0.41$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-26.00 \pm 0.45$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>$-28.20 \pm 1.00$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-28.20 \pm 1.00$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nanjing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fossil-plus”</td>
<td>$-26.97 \pm 0.46$</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>0 $\pm$ 0.30</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>$-26.00 \pm 0.45$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>$-28.20 \pm 1.00$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The partitioning equations are explicit expressions of the mass balance principle. But uncertainties in the isotope delta end members and the anthropogenic fluxes can propagate through these equations, causing uncertainties in the estimation of $F_S$ and $F_P$. Here we used a Monte Carlo analysis to quantify the error propagation. The same analysis has been applied to the partitioning of lake water budgets from isotope end members (Jasechko et al., 2014). The procedure employed a Gaussian distribution for errors in the input variables and an ensemble of 10 000 realizations for each month. Errors in $F_S$ and $F_P$ were computed as 1 standard deviation of these realizations after excluding the top and bottom 50 extreme ensemble members.

3 Results

3.1 Temporal variations in the CO$_2$ mole fraction and $\delta^{13}C$

The monthly CO$_2$ mole fraction during the summer was slightly lower than in the other seasons (Fig. 2). The mean mole fraction was 446.7 and 431.1 µmol mol$^{-1}$ for January and July, respectively, giving a seasonal amplitude of 15.6 µmol mol$^{-1}$. The mean CO$_2$ mole fraction during the whole experimental period (March 2013 to August 2015) was 439.7 µmol mol$^{-1}$, which is 40.6 µmol mol$^{-1}$ higher than the value observed at WLG for the same period. In 2014, the calendar year with complete data coverage, the mean CO$_2$ mole fraction was 441.2 µmol mol$^{-1}$, which is 42.5 ppm higher than the WLG value for the same year.

The $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio of atmospheric CO$_2$ displayed a more clear seasonal cycle than the mole fraction (Fig. 2). The monthly mean value was ($-9.07 \pm 0.17$)%$e$ (mean ± standard error) and ($-7.63 \pm 0.18$)%$e$ for January and July, respectively, with a seasonal amplitude of 1.44‰. The mean value for the whole experimental period was $-8.48$‰, which is the same as the WLG value ($-8.48$‰). The summertime (June to August) $\delta^{13}C$ was 0.39‰ higher than the WLG background value. The seasonality of the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio at Nanjing was greater than that at WLG.

The strongest diel variation in the CO$_2$ mole fraction was observed in the autumn season (September to November) and the weakest in the winter season (December to February), with a diel amplitude of 27.9 and 13.4 µmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 3). In the summer season, the peak value was observed at 07:00 LT and the lowest value at 19:00 LT. In contrast to the CO$_2$ mole fraction, $\delta^{13}C$ showed the lowest value
in the early morning and the highest value in the afternoon in all the four seasons. The diel amplitude was 1.36 ‰ in the summer and 0.66 ‰ in the winter.

3.2 $^{13}$C / $^{12}$C ratio of the surface sources ($\delta_S$)

Figures 4 and 5 show an example of the modified Miller–Tans approach applied to the month of January 2014. According to the slope parameter estimation, the $^{13}$C / $^{12}$C ratio of the surface sources was $(-25.01 \pm 0.90) \%e$ (mean $\pm$ 95% confidence limit for the YRD (Fig. 4) and $(-25.23 \pm 0.74) \%e$ for Nanjing (Fig. 5).

Figure 6 shows the monthly isotopic ratio calculated with the Miller–Tans method for the whole observation period. The reader is reminded here that the results obtained for the daytime and the nighttime period represent sources in the YRD and in Nanjing, respectively. During the 2.5 years of observation, the monthly $\delta_S$ for the YRD was lower in the winter ($(-24.37 \pm 0.71) \%e$) and higher in the summer ($(-23.42 \pm 1.79) \%e$). The seasonal difference for Nanjing was smaller than for the YRD ($(-24.87 \pm 0.51) \%e$) in the winter (December to February) and $(-24.80 \pm 1.79) \%e$ in the summer months (June to August). The sources in the YRD had slightly higher $\delta_S$ than those in Nanjing. The mean $\delta_S$ value of the whole observational period was $(-24.37 \pm 0.61)$ and $(-24.58 \pm 0.44) \%e$ for the YRD.

Figure 2. Monthly total CO$_2$ mole fraction (upper panel) and $\delta^{13}$C (lower panel): solid lines with circles are whole-day means; dashed lines with up triangles represent daytime (10:00–16:00 LT) means; dashed line with down triangles ($\nabla$ = downward triangle, $\Delta$ = upward triangle) represent nighttime (22:00–06:00 LT) means; smooth solid lines are monthly means observed at WLG.

Figure 3. Mean diel variation of the CO$_2$ mole fraction (upper panels) and the $\delta^{13}$C value (bottom panels) between March 2013 and August 2015.
The emission strength of anthropogenic sources and their fractional contribution of fuel-plus sources to the total anthropogenic emission was 91 %, with the remaining 9 % contribution from non-cement industrial processes. The second and third largest source were ammonia synthesis and pig iron manufacturing, with fractional contributions of about 11 % and 13 %, respectively. The overall effective isotopic ratio of the anthropogenic sources weighted by the source contributions was also lower for Nanjing than for the YRD (Table 3). The difference was 1.76 ‰ and was a result of lower fractional contributions of coal combustion and cement production in Nanjing, which have relatively high $^{13}$C contents, and a higher fractional contribution of natural gas, which is the fuel type with the lowest $^{13}$C content.

### 3.3 Inventory data for anthropogenic sources

The emission strength of anthropogenic sources and their $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratios were calculated with the inventory method and the data found in the literature, as described in Sect. 2.3. In the YRD, coal combustion was by far the largest source of anthropogenic CO$_2$, contributing 70 % of the overall “fossil-plus” emission (Table 2). Here the “fossil-plus” emission includes contributions from all forms of fossil fuel combustion and from non-cement industrial processes. The second and third largest source were ammonia synthesis and pig iron manufacturing, with fractional contributions of about 9 %. The “fossil-plus” source contribution to the total anthropogenic emission was 91 %, with the remaining 9 % contributed by cement production (Table 2).

In the Nanjing municipality, the fractional contribution of coal to the “fossil-plus” total was 52 %, lower than that for the YRD, and the other three major sources were ammonia synthesis (16 %), pig iron (13 %), and gasoline (11 %). The fractional contribution of fuel-plus sources to the total anthropogenic emission was 96.4 % and the fractional contribution of cement production was 3.6 % (Table 2). The isotopic ratio of the “fossil-plus” sources was 0.35 ‰ lower for Nanjing than for the YRD.

The overall effective isotopic ratio of the anthropogenic sources weighted by the source contributions was also lower for Nanjing than for the YRD (Table 3). The difference was 1.76 ‰ and was a result of lower fractional contributions of coal combustion and cement production in Nanjing, which have relatively high $^{13}$C contents, and a higher fractional contribution of natural gas, which is the fuel type with the lowest $^{13}$C content.

### 3.4 CO$_2$ fluxes in YRD and Nanjing

Figure 7 shows the biological flux $F_B$ and surface flux $F_S$ calculated from the mass balance and the cement flux $F_C$ and “fossil-plus” $F_F$. The $F_B$ flux obtained with the isotopic partitioning method for the YRD agreed with the seasonal phenology expected for plants in this region. It was near zero or slightly negative in the summer and generally positive in the winter, indicating uptake and release, respectively. The annual mean daytime biological flux was $(0.03 \pm 0.64) \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in the YRD in the calendar year 2014. The net surface flux $F_S$ was $(0.17 \pm 2.02) \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in 2014. The standard deviations of these estimates are quite large. If the extreme standard deviations of $F_B$ and $F_S$ in 2014 were excluded, the mean standard deviation of $F_B$ would decrease to $0.21 \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and to that of $F_S$ to $0.20 \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

In Nanjing, the biological flux was positive throughout the year (Fig. 8). This is because the partitioning was done for the night hours, when the natural ecosystems were a source of CO$_2$ due to autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration. The annual mean nighttime biological flux for the calendar year 2014 was $(0.06 \pm 0.26) \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The nighttime surface flux was $(0.18 \pm 0.22) \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in 2014.
Figure 6. Time series of monthly $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of surface sources in the YRD (a) and in Nanjing (b), obtained from daytime and nighttime measurement, respectively. The error bars are ±1 SD of the regression coefficient.

Figure 7. Time series of monthly net surface CO$_2$ flux ($F_S$), biological CO$_2$ flux ($F_P$), anthropogenic CO$_2$ flux excluding cement emission ($F_F$), and cement CO$_2$ flux ($F_C$) in the YRD. All the CO$_2$ mass fluxes are in mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The flux terms $F_P$ and $F_C$ are assumed to have a 10% uncertainty typical of fossil fuel consumption data. The partitioning results ($F_P$ and $F_S$) are based on the source $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio derived from daytime atmospheric measurements.

4 Discussion

4.1 CO$_2$ mole fraction and $\delta^{13}$C seasonality

The atmospheric CO$_2$ mole fraction observed in Nanjing showed very small seasonal variation (a summer versus winter difference of 7.9 µmol mol$^{-1}$, a July versus January difference of 15.6 µmol mol$^{-1}$), in comparison with the data published for other cities. For example, in Salt Lake City, USA, the CO$_2$ mole fraction in the summer is about 31 µmol mol$^{-1}$ lower than in the winter (Pataki et al., 2003a). Several factors contributed to the weak seasonality in Nanjing. The climate in the YRD is relatively mild. The governmental energy policy prohibits winter heating in public buildings. Most residential buildings also lack space heating in the winter. This is in contrast to energy use patterns in northern cities in China and elsewhere. The weak seasonality of the observed mole fraction was also related to the low vegetation cover in the YRD and in Nanjing. The forest cover ratio is about 35% in Nanjing and in the YRD, and the overall vegetation cover (forest plus other vegetation types) ratio in the major cities...
Figure 8. Time series of monthly net surface CO$_2$ flux ($F_S$), biological CO$_2$ flux ($F_P$), anthropogenic CO$_2$ flux excluding cement emission ($F_F$), and cement CO$_2$ flux ($F_C$) in Nanjing. All the CO$_2$ mass fluxes are in mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The flux terms $F_F$ and $F_C$ are assumed to have a 10% uncertainty typical of fossil fuel consumption data. The partitioning results ($F_P$ and $F_S$) are based on the source $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio derived from nighttime atmospheric measurements.

The high July values observed at our site cannot be fully explained by CO$_2$ removal by plant photosynthesis. Photosynthesis and respiration are the two processes that dominate the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C seasonality in plant-dominated landscapes, leading to higher $^{13}$C values in the summer and lower values in the winter. For example, in Park Falls, Wisconsin, USA, a site in a heavily forested landscape, $\delta^{13}$C was $-7.75\%e$ in August 2011 and $-8.77\%e$ in February 2012 (Moore and Jacobson, 2015). In comparison, $\delta^{13}$C was $-8.24$ and $-8.38\%e$ at the Mauna Loa Observatory and $-8.02$ and $-8.66\%e$ at WLG in these 2 months, respectively.

In other words, the photosynthetic effect raised the August $\delta^{13}$C by 0.5 ‰ above the background value, a smaller enrichment than observed at our site. Due to the low vegetation fraction, the summer photosynthetic CO$_2$ uptake in the YRD and in Nanjing should be lower than at Park Falls. According to the CarbonTracker inversion analysis (Peters et al., 2007), the net ecosystem production at the grid point where Parks Fall is located is $-0.201$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in July 2014 but is only $-0.059$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the grid point corresponding to the YRD region. We would expect from the photosynthetic effect alone that the summertime $^{13}$C enrichment at our site would be smaller, not greater than that observed at Park Falls.

Furthermore, in a human-dominated landscape, the plant photosynthetic enhancement of $^{13}$C is offset by the CO$_2$ from fossil fuel combustion, which has lower $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratios than the atmosphere. In Chicago, the monthly mean $\delta^{13}$C peaked in August at $-8.29\%e$ during the calendar year 2011, which is $0.05\%e$ lower than the WLG value for the same month. Similarly, in Beijing, the monthly mean $\delta^{13}$C peaked
at $-9.49\%$ in August 2014, which is $1.23\%$ lower than the WLG value for the same month.

We suggest that cement production was the contributing factor responsible for the high $\delta^{13}C$ values in the summer. The evidence supporting this interpretation is provided by data in Table 3 and Fig. 7. The delta value of anthropogenic CO$_2$ in the YRD would be $-26.36 \pm 0.42\%$ without cement production and increased to $-23.95 \pm 0.41\%$ after inclusion of the cement source (Table 3). This value is much higher than those reported for other urban lands, such as $-30.7\%$ for Los Angeles, USA (Newman et al., 2008) and about $-31\%$ for Salt Lake City, USA (Bush et al. 2007). The overall surface source $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio derived from atmospheric measurements ($-24.37$ and $-24.58\%$) for the YRD and Nanjing, respectively; see Fig. 6) was also more enriched than those obtained from atmospheric measurements in other cities, such as $-28.1 \pm 0.8\%$ for Chicago in August and September (Moore and Jacobson, 2015), $-32.4$ to $-27.4\%$ for Salt Lake City in the growing season (Pataki et al., 2003a), $-27.0\%$ for Beijing in the winter heating season (Pang et al., 2016), and $-29.3\%$ for Los Angeles, USA (Newman et al., 2008).

The influence of cement production on atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ has also been suggested for at least two other urban sites. In Bangalore, India, $\delta^{13}C$ is $0.05\%$ higher than that observed at an island station in the Indian Ocean, and cement production in southern India is offered as a reason to explain the enrichment of urban $\delta^{13}C$ (Ghosh and Ghosh, 2015). The other urban site is Beijing, China, where the $\delta^{13}C$ measurement may have been influenced by cement factories outside of the city (Ren et al., 2015; Pang et al., 2016).

4.3 Net surface and biological fluxes in the YRD

As a human-dominated landscape, the YRD was a net source of CO$_2$ on the monthly scale even in the growing season ($F_S$, Fig. 7). The seasonal trends of the net surface flux $F_S$ and the biological flux $F_P$ were highly correlated with each other ($R^2=0.88$ after exclusion of three extreme outliers) because the anthropogenic source strengths were almost constant. The mean $F_S$ between March 2013 and February 2015 was $0.19 \pm 1.16$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which consisted of $0.15 \pm 0.02$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ from fossil combustion and industrial processes ($0.02 \pm 0.002$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ from cement production and $0.05 \pm 0.31$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ from biological activities). The total anthropogenic CO$_2$ flux was $0.17 \pm 0.02$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the YRD, a 70% increase from the value of $0.10$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ reported for 2009 (Shen et al., 2014). From 2009 to 2012, the GDP increased by $56\%$ according to the National Statistic Yearbook.

For comparison, we extracted the flux data from the CarbonTracker database for the 6 by 6 pixels that cover the YRD region. The results show that the mean daytime (11:00 to 17:00LT) biological flux is slightly negative at $-0.01$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 2014 (Peter et al., 2007). Our estimate of $F_P$ for 2014 was $0.03 \pm 0.64$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. As pointed out earlier, the $F_P$ value for March 2014 was highly uncertain ($0.21 \pm 5.16$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). If we replace this value by the mean value of February and April 2014, the 2014 mean $F_P$ would be reduced to $0.02 \pm 0.22$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

A source of uncertainty in our flux partitioning analysis is related to human breath (Affek and Eiler, 2006). Using the method of Prairie and Duarte (2007), we estimated that human respiration flux was $0.006$ and $0.013$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, or $3.7$ and $11.65\%$ of anthropogenic emission in the YRD and in Nanjing, respectively. The food diet in the region is predominantly C$_3$ grains. By including this additional source in Eqs. (3) and (4) and by assuming that the isotopic ratio of digestion is the same as $\delta_P$ shown in Table 3, $F_S$ and $F_P$ would increase by $0.008$ and $0.001$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the YRD and by $0.018$ and $0.005$ mg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in Nanjing, respectively.

4.4 Comparison of the Miller–Tans and the Keeling methods

By applying the Miller–Tans method to daytime and nighttime observations separately, we obtained the effective source ratios that are consistent with the inventory analysis for the YRD and for the Nanjing municipality. The daytime measurement (solid circles in Fig. 6a) revealed that the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio of all of the sources (anthropogenic and biological) was on average $0.21\%$ higher than that obtained with the nighttime measurement (solid circles in Fig. 6b), although the difference is not statistically significant ($p=0.38$). In comparison, the overall $\delta_S$ of the anthropogenic sources in the YRD was also higher than that in Nanjing, the difference being $2.01\%$ (Table 3). The interpretation that the daytime observations capture the influence of surface sources in the YRD region is supported by a trajectory analysis and by an analysis of the atmospheric methane–CO$_2$ emissions ratio observed at the same site (Shen et al., 2014). We note that the atmospheric measurements gave a smaller difference between the YRD and Nanjing than that obtained by the inventory data, likely because of different biological contributions between the two spatial scales.

One open question with regard to the modified Miller–Tans method is what constitutes the true background air for the YRD. In this study, the background was assumed to be the tropospheric air above the YRD. The background delta value ($\delta_B$) backed out from the intercepted shown in Figs. 4 and 5 is $-7.6\%$, which is higher than expected, suggesting that the true background concentration $C_B$ may be higher than the tropospheric value. One noteworthy feature about Eq. (3) is that its slope parameter is not sensitive to $C_B$, but its intercept parameter is. By increasing $C_B$ by $15 \mu$mol mol$^{-1}$, the slope of the regression in Fig. 5 would remain unchanged, but the $\delta_B$ backed out from the new intercept value would become more reasonable ($-8.23\%$). In Newman et al. (2016), the background air was measured at a coastal location upwind of the Los Angeles airshed. It is recommended that a
similar strategy should be used in future experiments, where simultaneous measurement is made at the coast of the East China Sea and only data collected in easterly winds are selected for the Miller–Tans analysis.

We also calculated the $^{13}\text{C} / ^{12}\text{C}$ ratio of the surface sources with the Keeling plot method. Using the daytime data, the Keeling result was lower and more variable than that inferred from the Miller–Tans method using the daytime data (Fig. 6a). The two methods differed by an average of $-1.51\%e$ (Table S2).

In comparison, the Keeling plot method showed reasonably good performance when applied to the nighttime observations. This is because surface inversion conditions effectively prevented mixing of the free-atmospheric air with the surface air, so that the single-source assumption implicit in the Keeling plot method could be satisfied. When we applied the Keeling plot method at monthly intervals to the nighttime data, the resulting $\delta_S$ showed very similar month-to-month variations with the value obtained with the application of the Miller–Tans method to the nighttime observations (Fig. 6b). The two methods differed by an average of $1.21\%e$ (Table S2).

When the $\delta_S$ derived from the Keeling plot method was used for flux partitioning, the results were much more erratic than shown in Figs. 7 and 8 (Figs. S4 and S5). The uncertainty ranges of the monthly $F_P$ and $F_S$ were 2–3 times larger. The biological flux $F_P$ for the YRD was out of range for 4 months, and did not display an obvious seasonal pattern (Fig. S4). These results support our choice of the modified Miller–Tans method as the preferred approach for inferring the overall $^{13}\text{C} / ^{12}\text{C}$ ratio of the surface sources in this study.

5 Conclusions

We showed that the temporal changes of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ followed the seasonal patterns of anthropogenic and biologic CO$_2$ emissions, with lower values in the winter than in the summer. An unusual feature that has not been seen in other urban environments is that the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ exceeded that of the background atmosphere in some of the summer months. The highest monthly $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was $-7.44\%e$ observed in July 2013, which was $0.74\%e$ greater than the WLG value for the same month. Evidence points to cement production as the key reason for why the atmospheric $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was higher than at the background site. In contrast to the isotope ratio, the CO$_2$ mole fraction displayed very weak seasonality (July to January difference $15.6\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$).

We hypothesized that the Miller–Tans method applied to the daytime and nighttime observations should yield the effective $^{13}\text{C} / ^{12}\text{C}$ ratio of surface sources at the regional (YRD) and the local (Nanjing) scale, respectively. According to the results of the modified Miller–Tans method, the effective source $^{13}\text{C} / ^{12}\text{C}$ ratio in the YRD was $-24.37\%e$, which was $0.21\%e$ higher than that in the Nanjing Municipality. These results were consistent with inventory estimates of anthropogenic source ratios at these two spatial scales.

By combining inventory data on anthropogenic carbon sources and the atmospheric measurement of CO$_2$ mole fraction and $^{13}\text{C} / ^{12}\text{C}$ ratio in an isotopic partitioning framework, we inferred that natural ecosystems in the YRD were a negligibly small source of atmospheric CO$_2$, with an average flux of $(0.02 \pm 0.22)\, \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for 2014. In comparison, the CarbonTracker inverse analysis reveals a small annual mean daytime biological flux $(-0.01\, \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ for this region for 2014.

6 Data availability

The atmospheric data are available upon request, as an electronic supplement to the paper or from the Yale-NUIST Center website http://yncenter.sites.yale.edu.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-17-3385-2017-supplement.
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