Spatial variations of methane emission in a large shallow eutrophic lake in subtropical climate
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Abstract

Subtropical lakes are important source of atmospheric methane (CH4). This study aims to investigate spatial variations of CH4 flux in Lake Taihu, a large (area 2400 km2) and shallow (mean depth 1.9 m) eutrophic lake in Eastern China. The lake exhibited high spatial variations in pollution level, macrophyte vegetation abundance, and algal growth. We measured the diffusion CH4 flux via the transfer coefficient method across the whole lake. In addition, data obtained with the flux gradient and the eddy covariance methods were used in conjunction with the data on the diffusion flux to estimate the contribution by ebullition. Results from 3 years' measurements indicated high spatial variabilities in the diffusion CH4 flux. The spatial pattern of the diffusion CH4 emission was correlated with water clarity, dissolved oxygen concentration, and the spatial distributions of algal and submerged vegetation. In comparison to the transfer coefficient method, the eddy covariance and the flux gradient method observed a lake CH4 flux that was 3.39 ± 0.58 (mean ± 1 standard deviation) and 1.95 ± 0.36 times higher in an open-water eutrophic zone and in a habitat of submerged macrophytes, respectively. The result implied an average of 71% and 49% ebullition contribution to the total CH4 flux in the two zones. The annual mean diffusion CH4 flux of the whole lake was 0.54 ± 0.30 g m−2 yr−1. Our CH4 emission data suggest that the average CH4 emission reported previously for lakes in Eastern China was overestimated.

1. Introduction

Lakes occupy only 3.7% (5 × 106 km2) of the global land area [Verpoorter et al., 2014] but play a disproportionately large part in the atmospheric methane (CH4) budget [Bastviken et al., 2011]. Lake CH4 emissions vary strongly across latitude and climatic gradient, showing higher emission intensity at a lower latitude and in a warmer climate [Bastviken et al., 2004; Marotta et al., 2014]. So far, only a few observational studies have been conducted in subtropical lakes, located between 25° and 40° of latitude based on the Strahler climatic classification [Xing et al., 2005; Palmia-Silva et al., 2013; Musenze et al., 2014; Sturm et al., 2014; W. Hu et al., 2015]. About one third of lakes are located in these latitudes, occupying a total surface area of 1.7 × 106 km2 [Verpoorter et al., 2014]. It is estimated that lakes located in these latitudes release about 30% of the total freshwater CH4 emission [Bastviken et al., 2011].

Field studies show that the lake CH4 flux may vary seasonally and spatially. Generally, the flux is higher in the summer than in the winter due to higher water temperature [Xing et al., 2005; Palmia-Silva et al., 2013]. The spatial heterogeneity is associated with aquatic vegetation serving as sources of substrate for CH4 production [Wang et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009] or transporting oxygen to sediment for CH4 oxidation [Holzapfel-Pichhorn et al., 1986; Fritz et al., 2011], and with lake morphometry (area and depth) [Bastviken et al., 2004; Rasilo et al., 2015; Holgerson and Raymond, 2016], river discharge, and sediment type [Natchimuthu et al., 2015; Wik et al., 2016]. Therefore, observing temporal and spatial patterns of CH4 flux is necessary to accurately estimate whole-lake CH4 emissions.

Some studies indicate that lake nutrient status and aquatic vegetation can impact CH4 production and emission. A study of boreal lakes in Quebec, Canada showed that summertime diffusion CH4 flux is positively correlated with lake nutrient status [Rasilo et al., 2015]. A long-term mesocosm experiment demonstrated that changes in the lake eutrophication status play a larger role in the CH4 flux trend than changes in temperature [Davidson et al., 2015]. The aerenchyma tissues and hollow stems of emergent vascular aquatic vegetation can act as conduits allowing massive CH4 to bypass the aerobic zone and escape to the atmosphere. Observing spatial spatial variations of methanogenesis and ebullition is helpful to improve the understanding of CH4 emissions from subtropical lakes.
The emergent plant conduits also transport oxygen from the vast atmospheric source to the rhizosphere, having the potential to enhance CH$_4$ oxidation [Holzapfel-Pschorn et al., 1986; Fritz et al., 2011]. In the case of submerged vegetation, the source of oxygen is mostly photosynthesis [e.g., Sorrell and Dromgoole, 1987], which may have a limited effect on CH$_4$ oxidation in the rhizosphere. At the end of the growing season, the dead submerged vegetation accumulates at the lake bottom, supplying substrates for CH$_4$ production, which may result in increased CH$_4$ emission. The role of submerged vegetation on the lake CH$_4$ flux is not well understood.

Ebullition is an important pathway of the lake CH$_4$ flux. The CH$_4$ produced in the lake sediment can form bubbles easily because of low solubility of CH$_4$ in water. These air bubbles rise to the water surface much more rapidly than the diffusion process, allowing the trapped CH$_4$ to escape oxidation. It is estimated that ebullition emission accounts for 40% to 60% of the lake CH$_4$ flux globally [Bastviken et al., 2004] and 60% to 99% of the lake CH$_4$ flux in subtropical climates [Sturm et al., 2014]. Moreover, a survey of global lake data revealed that ebullition occurs at 25% to 80% of the measurement sites in shallow water (depth less than 4 m) and only 10% in deeper water (depth greater than 4 m) [Bastviken et al., 2004] based on the chamber method. This depth dependence is explained by a lower water hydrostatic pressure and a shorter transport pathway in shallower lakes [e.g., Joyce and Jewell, 2003; DeSonstro et al., 2011]. Wik et al. [2011, 2013] showed that ebullition dominates the lake CH$_4$ emission but with large uncertainties due to high spatiotemporal variabilities. More simultaneous measurements of diffusion and ebullition flux, especially in large lakes, are needed to improve the assessment of the global lake CH$_4$ budget.
Our study site is Lake Taihu, a large shallow eutrophic lake in China. The specific objectives of this study are (1) to characterize within-lake and temporal variations of the CH₄ flux in the lake, using observations made in open water zones and zones inhabited by submerged macrophytes, (2) to investigate the relationships between biological, chemical and physical factors, and the observed flux variabilities, and (3) to compare the CH₄ flux of this lake with the CH₄ fluxes reported for other lakes in China.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The measurements were made in Lake Taihu (30°05’ to 32°08’N, 119°08’ to 121°55’E) in Eastern China. Lake Taihu has a surface area of 2400 km², a length of 70 km (from north to south) and a width of 60 km (from east to west), a maximum depth of 3.3 m, and a mean depth of 1.9 m [Qin et al., 2007]. The lake catchment area is 36,500 km². The lake has a complex river network and is surrounded by several large cities [Qin et al., 2007]. The northern and western portions of the lake experience severe eutrophication due to pollution discharge via inflow rivers. Submerged vegetation was found in the cleaner eastern portion of the lake.

The lake is divided into seven zones according to vegetation distribution, eutrophic status, and wind-current interactions (Figure 1). Meiliang Bay is eutrophic due to pollution and organic matter discharge of two inflowing rivers; Gonghu Bay receives river discharge from Wuxi and Suzhou and is the intake of urban water, with half of the area inhabited by emergent aquatic vegetation. It is also the estuary of Wangyu River, which is the main channel for water transfer between Yangtze River and Lake Taihu; The Northwest Zone is hypereutrophic due to pollution discharged by urban and agricultural runoffs; Water in the Central Zone is relatively clean and has low photosynthetic activity; The East Zone is the main outlet of the lake and is dominated by submerged vegetation; The Southwest Zone is connected to three large inflowing rivers and is a transitional region between phytoplankton dominance and submerged vegetation dominance; Dongtaihu Bay is a shallow bay used for aquaculture and is inhabited by submerged vegetation [Qin et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014]. The lake was deepest in the Central Zone (Figure 2f, about 3 m deep) and shallowest in Dongtaihu Bay (about 1.3 m deep). The total phosphorous and nitrogen concentrations in the seven zones are given in Table S1 in the supporting information.

This study was supported by micrometeorological measurements at five sites in the lake (MLW, DPK, BFG, XLS, and PTS) and at one land site (DS) (Figure 1). The five lake sites are located in five of the lake zones described above, and water samples at these locations were collected for measuring the diffusion CH₄ flux. Two of the micrometeorological sites (MLW and BFG) were equipped with instruments to measure the lake air flux of CH₄ in situ, as described below. Wind and temperature measurements at the lake sites were used to calculate the transfer coefficient and to determine if spatial variability in the CH₄ flux was correlated with these micrometeorological variables.

2.2. Measurements of the CH₄ Flux

Measurement of the CH₄ flux in a large lake is challenging. Each of the available measurement techniques has its own advantages and disadvantages [Schubert et al., 2012]. The eddy covariance method [Peltola et al., 2013; Podgrajsek et al., 2014] and the flux gradient method [Xiao et al., 2014] measure the lake CH₄ flux in situ and continuously, but they can only be deployed at a limited number of sites because of cost, accessibility, and power requirement. The transfer coefficient method is much more versatile, allowing measurement at multiple sites and in remote fields. This method can measure fluxes below the detection limit of the micrometeorological methods but cannot measure the ebullition flux because water samples collected near the surface are generally free of air bubbles. Here both sets of measurement techniques were deployed to investigate the CH₄ flux in Lake Taihu. The transfer coefficient method was used to quantify the diffusion flux at multiple points across the lake and in different seasons of the year. The contribution of ebullition was determined by comparing the flux measured with the transfer coefficient method against the total flux measured with the micrometeorological flux gradient and the eddy covariance method. The flux gradient instrument used here was free of density effects [Xiao et al., 2014], but because of high power consumption, it was deployed at a site near the shoreline (MLW) where there was A/C power supply. The eddy covariance instrument was deployed at a more remote lake site (BFG) and was powered by solar panels.
2.2.1. CH₄ Lake Surveys

We collected water samples from the lake to determine the dissolved CH₄ concentration. At MLW, the eddy covariance site near the north shore, sample collection occurred daily at midday since 2011. From August 2011 to July 2013, diel sampling was also conducted at the site to investigate possible diel variations of the CH₄ flux. During this period, water samples were collected every 3 h for three consecutive days in each month. At the other remote lake sites (DPK, BFG, XLS, and PTS), sampling took place at every site visit at irregular intervals. A whole-lake survey was conducted once per season, in February, May, August, and November, during which time one water sample was collected at each of the 29 spatial sampling locations distributed across the whole lake (Figure 1). Each whole-lake survey was completed between 9:00 and 16:00 local time in two consecutive days.

Figure 2. Mean spatial variation of surface water properties and water depth across Lake Taihu: (a) normalized difference vegetation index, (b) dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹), (c) pH, (d) Chlorophyll a concentration (μg L⁻¹), (e) clarity (m), and (f) water depth (m).
Bubble-free lake water was collected at the 20 cm depth below the lake surface using a 600 mL homemade sampler, with a 3 cm wide opening at the top, sealed with a rubber cork. The cork was removed from the opening by a remote controller when the sampler reached the desired depth, allowing water to enter the sampler, and was put back in the opening to seal the sampler after it was full. The water was then poured quickly into a 300 mL glass bottle, with the excess water overflowing out of the bottle. (The time of exposure to ambient air during this transfer process was about 20 s.) The bottle was immediately capped without headspace using a butyl rubber stopper. Both the sampler and glass bottle had been washed with local bubble-free lake water before collection.

The water samples collected at the MLW site were stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for 1 to 2 weeks before being sent to our laboratory for analysis. Water samples collected during the lake surveys were saved in ice-chilled coolers and were analyzed within 48 h after the collection. Laboratory tests indicate that the influence of storage time on the dissolved CH4 concentration was negligible (Figure S1 in the supporting information).

In order to determine the concentration of CH4 dissolved in the water samples, ultrahigh purity N₂ gas (99.999%) was injected into the glass bottle to create a 100 mL headspace. The N₂ gas entered the bottle via a syringe inserted in the rubber stopper at a slight positive pressure of 50 hPa, and 100 mL of water was pushed out of the bottle via a second syringe inserted in the stopper. The remaining water was not exposed to room air during this process. The fraction of airspace (0.33) was lower than those (0.4 to 0.5) reported by Striegel et al. (2012) and Crawford et al. (2013). The glass bottle was then shaken vigorously for 5 min to allow the dissolved CH4 gas to reach equilibrium with the headspace. A small air sample (20 mL) was drawn from the headspace using a syringe with a three-way stopcock and injected into a gas chromatograph (Model Agilent GC6890N, Agilent Co., CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector for CH4 detection. The dissolved CH4 concentration in the in situ surface water was calculated according to a temperature-dependent Henry's law constant and accounted for CH4 in the headspace and in the water (Johnson et al., 1990). A laboratory test showed that the calculated in situ concentration was not sensitive to variations in the headspace fraction (Figure S2 in the supporting information).

2.2.2. The Transfer Coefficient Method

The diffusive CH4 flux \( F_{m,d} \) (mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) at the water-air interface was calculated using the transfer coefficient method based on the bulk diffusion model, as:

\[
F_{m,d} = k \times (C_w - C_{eq})
\]

where \( k \) is the gas transfer coefficient (m d\(^{-1}\)), \( C_w \) is the CH4 concentration (mmol m\(^{-3}\)) dissolved in the surface water (at the depth of 20 cm) and measured by gas chromatography, and \( C_{eq} \) is the gas concentration in water that is in equilibrium with the atmosphere at the in situ temperature. The gas transfer coefficient \( k \) is dependent on wind speed and is normalized to a Schmidt number of 600 [MacIntyre et al., 1995; Cole and Caraco, 1998; Huotari et al., 2009; Juutinen et al., 2009]. The wind speed was measured at the PTS eddy covariance site located in the lake center.

The results presented in the main text below were based on the \( k \) values obtained from the model described by Cole and Caraco [1998]. Several recent formulations consider both wind shear and waterside convection [e.g., MacIntyre et al., 2010]. We also computed the diffusion flux using the models given by Read et al. [2012], Heiskanen et al. [2014], and Podgrajsek et al. [2015] for the transfer coefficient \( k \). Some variables in these models were given by Imberger [1985], Herb and Stefan [2005], Jeffery et al. [2007], and Soloviev et al. [2007]; details of these models are described in the supporting information.

2.2.3. The Flux Gradient and the Eddy Covariance Methods

These two micrometeorological techniques measure the CH4 flux in situ and continuously. The flux gradient method determines the flux using an eddy diffusivity model and measurement of the vertical CH4 concentration gradient above the lake surface. The eddy covariance method determines the flux by measurement of the vertical wind speed and the CH4 density above the water surface at high frequencies.

Even though measurements of the energy, the CO₂, and the H₂O fluxes were made at all the eddy covariance sites, only two of the sites, the MLW and the BFG site, were equipped with micrometeorological CH4 flux.
instruments. At MLW, the total (diffusion plus ebullition) flux across the water-air interface was measured with the flux gradient method as

\[ F_m = -0.55 \rho_a K \frac{r_2 - r_1}{z_2 - z_1} \]  

(2)

where 0.55 is a unit conversion constant for CH4, \( \rho_a \) is air density (kg m\(^{-3}\)), \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the atmospheric CH4 mixing ratio in reference to dry air at height \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) (m) above the water surface, respectively, and \( K \) is the eddy diffusivity (m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) calculated with the Monin-Obukhov similarity functions using the aerodynamic method. A more detailed description about the method is given by Xiao et al. [2014]. The gas measurement heights were approximately 1.1 and 3.5 m above the water surface. The concentration measurement was made sequentially with a closed-path CH4 analyzer (Model G1301, Picarro Inc., CA, USA). The CH4 flux was determined at half-hourly intervals.

At BFG, a site dominated by submerged macrophytes (\textit{Hydrilla verticillata} and \textit{Potamogeton malaianus}), an eddy covariance system was used to measure the total water-air CH4 flux, as

\[ F_m = \overline{w'c'} \]  

(3)

where \( w' \) and \( c' \) are fluctuations in the vertical wind speed (m s\(^{-1}\)) and in the CH4 density (\( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\)), respectively, and the overbar denotes block averaging. The system consisted of a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (Model CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA) for measuring three-dimensional wind speeds, an open-path infrared gas analyzer (Model EC150, Campbell Scientific Inc.) for measuring atmospheric H2O and CO2 concentrations, and an open-path CH4 gas analyzer (Model Li-7700, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) for measuring the CH4 concentration. These quantities were sampled at 10 Hz and the flux was computed at half-hourly intervals. Density effects were corrected according to the WPL theory, a theory named after the authors Webb, Pearman, and Leuning [Webb et al., 1980; Lee and Massman, 2011].

At these two sites, the peak contribution to the flux footprint was located at a distance of about 200 m from the flux tower in neutral stability [Xiao et al., 2013]. The energy balance closure was about 80% [Wang et al., 2014]. For the micrometeorological observations, only those that coincided with lake water samplings were chosen for the purpose of methods comparison. Additionally, at the MLW site, the selected observations also satisfied good fetch conditions (wind direction 180°–270°) [Xiao et al., 2014]. In other words, data collected during land breezes were excluded to avoid land influences. In the case of BFG, the distance to the nearest land is about 4 km, which is much greater than the fetch required for eddy covariance application, so the measurement represented the lake flux under both land and lake breezes conditions and no wind direction screening was applied.

2.3. Ancillary Data

Micrometeorological measurements at the five lake sites included wind speed and direction, water temperature, air temperature, relative humidity, and four-way net radiation components [Lee et al., 2014]. Four-way net radiation components were measured at a height of 2 m above the water surface.

Water physical, biological and chemical properties (water temperature, pH, turbidity (Turb), water clarity (given by Secchi disc depth), specific conductance (Spc), chlorophyll a concentration (Chl a), and dissolved oxygen concentration (DO)) were measured in situ with a multiparameter probe (YSI 650MDS, YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA) at 20 cm depth and timed with water sample collections. The Chl a measurement was checked against a zero solution prior to each field survey but was not compared to solutions of known Chl a concentration. The Chl a measurement was used only as a proxy for the true value in the analysis of spatial correlation described below. Other YSI parameters were calibrated monthly using the protocol recommended by the manufacturer.

Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is high when there are macrophytes and was used as a proxy for algal and macrophyte biomass in the surface water [e.g., Sawaya et al., 2003; Cho and Lu, 2010]. NDVI is a normalized difference in reflectance between the near-infrared and the red waveband. Because green
variables and the diffusion CH₄ flux. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to determine if multicollinearity could be omitted in the multiple linear regression. When VIF was greater than 5, any of the predictors was eliminated to reduce the multicollinearity [Manns and Berg, 2013]. According to the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the equation with the lowest AIC value was the best. The effect of each explanatory variable on multiple regression equation was assessed. In analysis of spatial variability, measurements made at each of the 29 sampling sites (Figure 1) were first averaged over the 3 year measurement period, and spatial correlation analysis was performed on these time-averaged quantities. In analysis of temporal variability, zonal mean flux and environmental variables were used. The zonal mean value was calculated for each lake survey using measurements made at the sites within that zone. The number of data points in these temporal correlation analyses was 12, each corresponding to one lake survey over the course of the 3 year sampling period.

The fractional contribution of ebullition to the total CH₄ flux at two sites (MLW and BFG) was computed as the ratio of the ebullition flux, which was calculated as the difference between the micrometeorological flux and the diffusion flux, to the micrometeorological flux which is equivalent to the total flux.

### 2.5. Zonal and Whole-Lake Fluxes

The diffusion flux for each lake zone was computed as the mean value of the flux using the spatial sampling locations in that zone. For Meiliang Bay, Gonghu Bay, the Central Zone, the East Zone, and Dongtaihu Bay, the zonal flux showed significant linear correlation with water temperature. To obtain the annual mean value for each zone, we established a linear regression equation between the diffusion flux and water temperature (Table 1), applied the equation to calculate the daily flux for the observation period (from 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2014) using the daily water temperature measured at BFG site (Figure 1), and averaged these daily values. The uncertainty of this annual mean diffusion flux was assessed with a Monte Carlo procedure assuming a normal distribution of errors in the regression coefficients. The standard deviation of the mean diffusion flux was based on a total of 10,000 Monte Carlo ensemble members. For the Southwest Zone and the Northwest Zone, the correlation with temperature was statistically insignificant; their annual mean diffusion flux was computed as the average of the data obtained from the seasonal surveys. The whole-lake flux was computed as area-weighted mean of the zonal flux.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Spatial Variations of Physical, Biological, and Chemical Factors

Water temperature of Lake Taihu is remarkably uniform. The spatial variability across the lake is less than 2°C at hourly intervals [Deng et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014] and less than 0.6°C at monthly intervals [Wang et al., 2013]. biomass (algae and aquatic plants) has much higher reflectance in the near-infrared waveband than in the red waveband, a water pixel that has a high level of green biomass at or near the surface will have a high NDVI value. The NDVI product was based on the MODIS sensor on NASA’s Terra platform, which has a time resolution of 16 days and a spatial resolution of 250 m. The actual time resolution after removing invalid data varies between 16 to 32 days.

### 2.4. Data Analysis

Single-variable regression and multilinear stepwise regression were used to investigate the relationships between environmental factors and the CH₄ diffusion flux. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to determine if multicollinearity could be omitted in the multiple linear regression. When VIF was greater than 5, any of the predictors was eliminated to reduce the multicollinearity [Manns and Berg, 2013]. According to the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the equation with the lowest AIC value was the best. The effect of each explanatory variable on multiple regression equation was assessed. In analysis of spatial variability, measurements made at each of the 29 sampling sites (Figure 1) were first averaged over the 3 year measurement period, and spatial correlation analysis was performed on these time-averaged quantities. In analysis of temporal variability, zonal mean flux and environmental variables were used. The zonal mean value was calculated for each lake survey using measurements made at the sites within that zone. The number of data points in these temporal correlation analyses was 12, each corresponding to one lake survey over the course of the 3 year sampling period.

The fractional contribution of ebullition to the total CH₄ flux at two sites (MLW and BFG) was computed as the ratio of the ebullition flux, which was calculated as the difference between the micrometeorological flux and the diffusion flux, to the micrometeorological flux which is equivalent to the total flux.
At the annual intervals, the water temperature differences among the five micrometeorological lake sites were less than 0.4°C (Figure 1). The annual mean water temperature at the 20 cm depth was 18.1, 17.9, and 17.8°C at BFG for 2012–2014, respectively. The highest monthly mean was 31°C (August 2013), and the lowest monthly mean was 3.9°C (January 2013). Wind speed appeared quite uniform across the open water, too. The annual mean wind speed at the 10 m height above the water surface were 2.96, 4.51, 4.45, 4.48, and 4.66 m s\(^{-1}\), at MLW, DPK, BFG, XLS, and PTS, respectively (Figure 1). The wind speed at MLW was lower than that at the other sites due to the sheltering effect of the local terrain.

In contrast to water temperature and wind speed, the biochemical properties displayed large spatial variations (Figure 2). The highest mean NDVI value (0.28) occurred in the East Zone and Dongtaihu Bay because of aquatic vegetation. Meiliang Bay and the Northwest Zone also showed relatively high NDVI values, indicating high algal abundance. The lowest DO and pH and the highest Chl \(a\) were found in the Northwest Zone due to pollution discharge by inflow rivers. The pH spatial variation was much larger than temporal variations over the spatial sampling interval (9:00 to 16:00 location time) (Figure S3 in the supporting information) [Z. H. Hu et al., 2015]. The water clarity index was the highest in the East Zone and Dongtaihu Bay where submerged vegetation was abundant.

### 3.2. Temporal and Spatial Variations of the CH\(_4\) Diffusion Flux

The CH\(_4\) diffusion flux measured with the transfer coefficient method showed strong temporal variations at the five observation sites where frequent samplings took place (Figure 3). The highest CH\(_4\) emission flux generally occurred in the summer, and the lowest flux always occurred in the winter. The average wintertime (January, February, and December) flux at MLW site (0.033 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was about 6 times lower than the summer value (0.213 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). The mean CH\(_4\) flux was 0.125 (MLW), 0.141 (BFG), 0.052 (DPK), 0.031(XLS), and 0.018 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (PTS). MLW is in the northern portion of Lake Taihu where algal growth was very severe, BFG is in a submerged vegetation habitat, and PTS is at the lake center (Figure 1). The mean dissolved CH\(_4\) concentration was 132 (MLW), 130 (BFG), 51 (DPK), 27 (XLS), and 18 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (PTS) (Figure S4 in the supporting information).

Diel variations in the diffusion CH\(_4\) flux were small at the MLW site (Figure S6). The CH\(_4\) diffusion flux varied in a narrow range (0.021–0.032 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) over 24 h cycle in the cold season (November to March). Averaged over the year, the daytime flux (0.149 ± 0.003 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was slightly lower than the nighttime flux (0.156 ± 0.022 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Figure S6).

Figure 3. Temporal variation of the CH\(_4\) diffusion flux determined with the transfer coefficient method at the five lake observation sites (MLW, BFG, DPK, XLS, and PTS) where frequent water sampling took place. Their locations are shown in Figure 1. Time series of CH\(_4\) concentration in the surface water and wind speed are given in Figures S4 and S5, respectively.
The CH$_4$ diffusion flux shows very large spatial variations across the lake on the days of the spring and the summer lake surveys, ranging from 0.009 to 0.560 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the spring, and from 0.020 to 0.507 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the summer. The highest CH$_4$ flux occurred in Dongtaihu Bay and the East Zone, two regions without direct river nutrient inputs (Figure 1) but with high abundance of submerged macrophytes, where water was more clear and less deep than the other areas (Figures 2e and 2f). The spatial variability was very weak on the days of the winter lake surveys, with the diffusion flux ranging from 0.006 to 0.107 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ except for an isolated spot in the Northwest Zone where the flux reached 0.211 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. The wintertime flux was very small (less than 0.08 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) in the submerged macrophyte habitats (Figure 4d).

On an annual basis, Dongtaihu Bay, the East Zone, and the Northwest Zone were hot spots of CH$_4$ emission, followed by Meiliang Bay and Gonghu Bay (Figure 5). The lowest CH$_4$ emission occurred in the Central Zone.

### 3.3. Factors Influencing the CH$_4$ Flux Variations

The whole-lake mean CH$_4$ diffusion flux increased linearly with water temperature, as shown in Figure 6, where each data point represents the spatial mean value of one whole-lake survey. The temporal CH$_4$ flux was poorly correlated with wind speed (the Pearson correlation coefficient $R = 0.50$, $n = 12$, $p = 0.09$). Temporal variations in water temperature explained 58% of the observed variance in the whole-lake flux ($R^2 = 0.58$, $n = 12$, $p < 0.01$).

![Figure 4](image_url). Spatial variation of the CH$_4$ diffusion flux on days of whole-lake sampling in (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) autumn, and (d) winter. Data are mean values of 3 years (2012–2014).
The zonal mean diffusion flux exhibits different responses to water temperature (Table 1). Temporal variations of the diffusion flux were highly correlated with water temperature for the two macrophyte zones (the East Zone: $R = 0.81$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 12$; Dongtaihu Bay: $R = 0.77$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 12$). However, the flux in the highly eutrophic West Zone fluctuated around the mean value of $0.191 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, showing poor correlation with water temperature ($R = -0.10$, $p = 0.74$, $n = 12$).

The zonal flux temporal variations also depended on biochemical variables, some of which displayed seasonal variations. The temporal variations in the CH$_4$ diffusion flux was correlated with DO in Meiliang Bay ($R = -0.63$, $n = 12$, $p = 0.03$) and in the East Zone ($R = -0.82$, $n = 12$, $p < 0.01$) and in the East Zone ($R = 0.66$, $n = 12$, $p < 0.05$). However, the diffusion CH$_4$ flux did not show significant correlation with DO or NDVI in Dongtaihu Bay (DO: $p = 0.11$; NDVI: $p = 0.06$). In this shallow submerged macrophytes zone, temperature and water depth variations together explained 83% of the temporal variations in the observed CH$_4$ flux ($R^2 = 0.83$, $n = 12$, $p < 0.01$).

Significant relationships were found between spatial variations of the diffusion flux and some of the explanatory biochemical variables (Table 2 and Figure 2). In this spatial correlation analysis, each data point represents the mean value of whole experimental period (2012–2014) for one of the 29 spatial sampling locations (Figure 1). The CH$_4$ diffusion flux increased linearly with NDVI (Figure 7a; $p < 0.001$), indicating that growth of algal and aquatic vegetation could promote CH$_4$ emission. But the CH$_4$ diffusion flux decreased linearly with increasing dissolved oxygen concentration, pH, turbidity, and water depth, and increased with increasing water clarity (Table 2). According to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the best model to predict the CH$_4$ diffusion...
flux (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was that which contained water clarity (c, m), dissolved oxygen concentration (DO, mg L$^{-1}$), water depth (d, m), and NDVI. When one of these explanatory variables was removed, the AIC would go up. The multilinear stepwise regression function is

$$ F_{m,d} = 0.184 c - 0.037 DO - 0.086 d + 0.355 NDVI + 0.552 $$

(4)

This regression model explained 78% of the observed spatial variability in the diffusion CH$_4$ flux ($R^2 = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 29$). Some of explanatory biochemical variables were correlated (Table S3). The variance inflation factor (VIF) values for the predictors in equation (4) were lower than the threshold of 5 (Table S4), showing that multicollinearity among these factors was negligible.

| Table 2. Spatial Pearson Correlation of the Mean CH$_4$ Flux Against Mean Water Quality Indices$^a$ |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
|                | DO    | Chl a | Spc   | ORP  | pH    | NTU   |
| All sites      | $-0.43^{b}$ | $-0.06$ | $0.21$ | $0.05$ | $-0.53^{c}$ | $-0.50^{c}$ |
| Open water     | $-0.82^{c}$ | $0.49^{b}$ | $0.61^{c}$ | $0.12$ | $-0.70^{c}$ | $-0.38$ |

$^a$DO, dissolved oxygen concentration; Chl a, chlorophyll a concentration; Spc, specific conduct; ORP, oxidation reduction potential; NTU, turbidity; depth, water depth; Clarity, water clarity. All sites, data acquired at all the spatial sampling sites; Open water, excluding sites in the East Zone and Dongtaihu Bay.

$^b$Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

$^c$Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Figure 7. Spatial correlation of the mean CH$_4$ diffusion flux against (a) mean NDVI (normalized difference vegetation index), (b) mean water depth, (c) mean pH, and (d) mean water clarity. Each data point represents the 2012–2014 mean value at one spatial sampling site. Parameter bounds on the regression coefficients are 95% confidence limits.
3.4. Diffusion Flux and Ebullition Estimates

The mean diffusion CH₄ flux of whole lake was 0.092 ± 0.052 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ or 0.54 ± 0.30 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ based on continuous measurements (Table 3). The highest diffusion CH₄ flux was in Dongtaihu Bay. These flux estimates were based on measurements made in the daytime. The diel sampling at MLW shows that the daytime flux was 4% lower than the whole-day flux (Figure S6), so the whole-lake flux reported here may have been underestimated by a similar amount.

As explained in section 2, the annual mean flux was based on the application of a linear regression model for the zones where the correlation with temperature was significant. We also established an exponential regression equation, which might make more sense physically, to obtain the daily and then annual mean flux for these zones. The results are not sensitive to the model chosen (Table 3).

The CH₄ ebullition contribution was estimated based on the difference between diffusion flux and the total flux measured by the flux gradient method and eddy covariance method. At the MLW site, there were 41 half-hourly flux gradient observations that took place under open-fetch conditions and coincided with the diffusive CH₄ measurement. The two measurements were correlated (R = 0.41, p < 0.01). The mean CH₄ flux of these observations was 0.707 and 0.199 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ according to the flux gradient method and the transfer coefficient method, respectively (Figure 8a). The regression slope (mean ± 1 standard deviation) of the total flux versus the diffusion flux was 3.39 ± 0.58, which was determined by the geometric mean functional regression. The result implied that the fractional contribution of ebullition to the total flux was 0.71 ± 0.06.

At the BFG site, 11 valid eddy flux observations occurred at times of the dissolved CH₄ measurement (Figure 8b). The mean CH₄ flux was 0.319 and 0.185 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ according to the eddy covariance method and the transfer coefficient method, respectively. The regression slope of the total flux versus the
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Table 3. The Annual Mean Diffusion CH₄ Flux in the Seven Zones and in the Whole Lake From 2012 to 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>Surface Area (km²)</th>
<th>Diffusion CH₄ Flux (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meiliang Bay</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.088 (0.084)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonghu Bay</td>
<td>215.6</td>
<td>0.064 (0.059)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Zone</td>
<td>316.4</td>
<td>0.167 (0.170)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongtaihu Bay</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.227 (0.220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Zone</td>
<td>443.2</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Zone</td>
<td>394.1</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Zone</td>
<td>737.5</td>
<td>0.025 (0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole lake</td>
<td>2338</td>
<td>0.092 (0.090)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For the Southwest Zone and the Northwest Zone, their annual mean diffusion flux was computed as the average of the 12 seasonal surveys, and the variability range is ±1 standard deviation of the 12 samples. For the other zones, the annual mean diffusion flux was the average of the daily flux from 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2014 obtained from the linear regression with water temperature, and the standard deviation was determined with a Monte Carlo method. The diffusion flux in parentheses was estimated with an exponential regression model.
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Figure 8. Comparison of the diffusion CH₄ flux measured with the transfer coefficient method and the total flux measured (a) with the flux gradient method at the MLW site and (b) with the eddy covariance method at the BFG site. Parameter bounds on the regression coefficients are 95% confidence limits.
diffusion flux was $1.95 \pm 0.36$. In this lake zone, the fractional contribution of ebullition to the total flux was $0.49 \pm 0.08$.

4. Discussion

4.1. Impact of Eutrophic Status

The large difference in the CH$_4$ flux between the algal bloom zones and the zones of low algal biomass supports the interpretation that eutrophic status plays a role in lake CH$_4$ emission. The Northwest Zone and Meiliang Bay were hypereutrophic due to high levels of nutrient discharges by inflow rivers [Qin et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2011] (Table S1). The mean diffusion flux was $0.191 \pm 0.117$ and $0.088 \pm 0.031$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in these two zones, respectively. For comparison, the mean diffusion flux was $0.025 \pm 0.015$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the relatively clean Central Zone. High abundance of phytoplankton in the Northwest Zone and Meiliang Bay was indicated by the high NDVI and Chl a levels (Figures 2a and 2d). Because wind speed, water temperature, solar radiation, and sensible and latent heat fluxes show little spatial variations across the lake [Wang et al., 2014], the contrast between the eutrophic zones and the Central zone can be interpreted as a consequence of different eutrophic conditions, rather than different micrometeorological conditions. Previous studies on the impact of eutrophication are based on measurements made at multiple lakes. Our results suggest that the impact can exist along the eutrophication gradient in a single lake.

In aquatic ecosystems, the majority of CH$_4$ is produced in the sediment by organic matter decomposition in anaerobic conditions. The net flux to the atmosphere is lower than the production rate because some of the CH$_4$ is consumed by aerobic bacteria during the process of diffusion and transport [Segers, 1998; Bastviken et al., 2008]. The organic matter supply and dissolved oxygen concentration in the sediment are important factors that influence CH$_4$ production and the subsequent emission. High nutrient loading in eutrophic lakes not only increases autochthonous production, which then fuels CH$_4$ production, but also increases oxygen consumption, which suppresses CH$_4$ oxidation [Huttunen et al., 2003]. In this study, the lowest surface water DO was observed in the Northwest Zone (Figure 2b), the zone with the second highest diffusion CH$_4$ flux (Table 3). It is likely that DO was also low in the sediment pores in the zone, because DO shows virtually no vertical changes in the water column in Lake Taihu [Z. H. Hu et al., 2015]. Our results showed that DO was a variable that can explain some of the CH$_4$ flux spatial variations across the lake (Table 2; equation (4)). Although not low enough to limit CH$_4$ oxidation, the low DO levels were an indication of poor water quality and high nutrient load, which contributed to the high CH$_4$ emission. Additionally, covariation might arise from the fact that oxygen flux and the diffusion CH$_4$ flux are both influenced by piston velocity, namely physical exchange rate.

Previous studies found that Chl a is a good indicator of eutrophic influences on the CH$_4$ flux [Bastviken et al., 2004; Rasilo et al., 2015]. In the present study, even though the highest Chl a was found in the Northwest Zone with vast allochthonous nutrient input, the correlation between temporal variability of the CH$_4$ emission and Chl a was insignificant in this zone ($R = -0.26$, $p = 0.45$). Some studies show that allochthonous nutrient input plays a larger role in lake CH$_4$ emission than the Chl a level [Huttunen et al., 2003; Ojala et al., 2011]. It is possible that the influence of Chl a on CH$_4$ flux may have been masked by the high nutrient loads and sediment inputs by river discharge [DelSontro et al., 2011; Nachimuthu et al., 2015]. However, we cannot rule out that the poor temporal correlation with Chl a was related to the fact that the Chl a measurement was not calibrated to an absolute Chl a standard (section 2.3).

In comparison, a stronger correlation existed between temporal variations in the CH$_4$ diffusion flux and NDVI in the Northwest Zone ($R = 0.82$, $n = 12$, $p < 0.01$). It appears that NDVI, an indicator of algal abundance or nutrient input [DelSontro et al., 2011], was a useful parameter for explaining the CH$_4$ emission variations in this eutrophic zone.

4.2. Role of Submerged Vegetation

The Chl a spatial distribution showed that nutrient loading was the lowest in Dongtaihu Bay (Figure 2d). However, the CH$_4$ diffusion flux was the highest in this zone ($0.227$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). The water was shallower in Dongtaihu Bay and in part of the East Zone than in the rest of the lake (Figure 2f), which encouraged growth of submerged vegetation (Potamogeton malaianus and Hydrilla verticillata) as indicated by the high
NDVI values (Figure 2a). And the growth of macrophyte can influence organic matter in sediment [Wang et al., 2006]. The high CH₄ flux indicates that the submerged vegetation was an important substrate source that stimulated CH₄ production in these two zones [Ding et al., 2005; Bridgham et al., 2013; Carmichael et al., 2014].

An alternative explanation for the high flux was the fact that these zones were shallow (mean depth 1.8 m). Indeed, water depth was highly correlated with spatial variations in the observed flux across the lake (Table 2). This correlation may have been enhanced by macrophyte vegetation and substrates in the sediment.

The CH₄ flux increased linearly with temperature in the macrophyte zones (Table 1). The temporal variations in the diffusion CH₄ flux were correlated significantly with variations in the submerged vegetation biomass, approximated here by NDVI (East Zone: \( R = 0.66, n = 12, p < 0.05 \)). But the CH₄ flux in the macrophyte zones was as low as in other zones during the wintertime (Figure 4d), suggesting that temperature rather than substrate availability was the limiting factor in CH₄ production in the cold season.

### 4.3. Temperature Influences on CH₄ Flux Temporal Variations

The temporal variations in the whole lake CH₄ diffusion flux significantly increased with water temperature (Figure 6). Temperature played a large role in determining the CH₄ emission of Lake Taihu, explaining 58% of the observed temporal variability in the CH₄ flux at the whole-lake scale.

However, the role of temperature varied among the seven zones (Table 1). The most notable feature was the poor correlation for the Northwest Zone and the Southwest Zone. These two zones receive two thirds of the rivers runoff into the lake [Zhai et al., 2010]. The lack of temperature influence in these zones suggests that high nutrient inputs [Furlanetto et al., 2012; Rasilo et al., 2015] and high sediment loads [Natchimuthu et al., 2015] may have confounded the direct effect of temperature on the CH₄ flux. Natchimuthu et al. [2015] observed higher CH₄ concentration in lake zones that receive stream water than their whole-lake mean concentration and proposed that enhanced CH₄ production in sediments in these zones is a factor contributing to this difference. A long-term experiment shows that nutrient concentration outweighs temperature in determining lake CH₄ emission [Davidson et al., 2015]. In another related experiment in boreal lakes, the temperature dependency of the CH₄ flux decreases with increasing nutrient level [Rasilo et al., 2015].

The CH₄ flux temperature dependency may offset the influence of waterside mixing at night. Podgrajsek et al. [2014] reported that stronger waterside mixing at night allows CH₄ to diffuse out of the water column more quickly than during the day at a shallow lake in central Sweden. On the other hand, lower production is expected at night due to lower temperatures than during the day. The weak CH₄ flux diel cycle at MLW (Figure S6) suggests that these two processes approximately offset one another at MLW.

### 4.4. CH₄ Ebulition Emission

In the present study, the ebullition flux accounted for a higher percent of the total flux in the eutrophic zone (mean value 71%, Figure 8a) than in the zone with submerged macrophytes (mean value 49%, Figure 8b). Because of relatively high amounts of submerged vegetation (peak biomass density 0.43 kg m⁻²) [Gu et al., 2005], Dongtaihu Bay and the East Zone, the two shallow water zones bear some resemblance to wetland ecosystems. In wetlands, ebullition emission comprises only 13 to 48% of the total CH₄ flux [Gogo et al., 2011; Maecck et al., 2013; Crawford et al., 2014]. However, the difference in the ebullition contribution between MLW and BFG is not statistically significant (\( p = 0.20 \)). Given the episodic nature of ebullition, the number of observations presented here (\( n = 11 \) at BFG and \( n = 41 \) at MLW) may be too small to draw a firm conclusion as to whether the submerged vegetation suppressed ebullition.

On the assumption that the ebullition fractional contribution measured at MLW was representative of the five open-water zones (Meiliang Bay, Gonghu Bay, Central Zone, Southwest Zone, and Northwest Zone) and that measured at BFG was representative of the two macrophyte zones (East Zone and Dongtaihu Bay), we estimated that the whole-lake mean ebullition flux was \( 0.99 \pm 0.27 \) g m⁻² yr⁻¹ and the total flux (diffusion plus ebullition) was \( 1.54 \) g m⁻² yr⁻¹. However, this whole-lake extrapolation should be viewed with caution. Our ebullition estimates are indirect and are based on the difference between two methods whose footprints are very different. Furthermore, ebullition is known to be highly sporadic both temporally and spatially [Wik et al., 2011, 2013]. More temporal and spatial measurements in the lake are needed to address this issue.
4.5. Comparison of the Annual Mean CH₄ Flux With Other Published Studies

Based on the 3 years field measurement, the mean diffusion CH₄ flux of whole lake was 0.092 ± 0.052 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ or 0.54 ± 0.30 g m⁻² yr⁻¹. The diffusion flux was much higher than that expected of the size-dependent scaling relationship given by Holgerson and Raymond [2016]. These authors showed that the dissolved CH₄ concentration and therefore the diffusion flux density decrease with increasing lake area. The smaller shoreline to surface area ratio in a larger lake contributes to the lower CH₄ diffusion flux because of the lower relative allochthonous carbon input. Extrapolating their relationship to 2400 km², the area of Lake Taihu, we obtained a much lower diffusion flux of 0.01 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ than the measured value of 0.092 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. One contributor to this anomalously high flux is that CH₄ emission in Lake Taihu was fueled by both allochthonous carbon input and in situ production through algal photosynthesis and assimilation by the aquatic plants. In addition, higher gas transfer velocities in larger lakes [Read et al., 2012] may also contribute to higher diffusion fluxes. The mean gas transfer velocity (converted to k₃₅₀, 1.23 m d⁻¹) in Lake Taihu was higher than the value of 1.15 m d⁻¹ recommended by Holgerson and Raymond [2016] for large lakes (>100 km²). Rasilio et al. [2015] found that the warm season diffusion flux decreases with increasing lake area up to a threshold of ~100 km² for lakes in boreal Quebec; lakes with area greater than this threshold seem to show a stable diffusion flux of 0.17 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. These differences are a reminder that simple models based on lake physical characteristics alone may need to be constrained by local conditions to achieve improved performance.

It has been suggested that the CH₄ emission flux in Chinese lakes is much higher than in lakes elsewhere due to higher nutrient accumulation and shallower water depth [Yang et al., 2011]. Previous studies estimated that the total CH₄ emission from lakes in Eastern China (total lake area 21053 km²) [Yang et al., 2011] is 0.23 Tg yr⁻¹ [Chen et al., 2013], giving an average flux density of 10.92 g m⁻² yr⁻¹. Averaged diffusion CH₄ flux at macrophyte zone (Dongtaihu Bay and East Zone) and eutrophic zones (Meiliang Bay and Northwest Zone) of Lake Taihu were 1.16 and 0.82 g m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively. Considering the ebullition emission at eutrophic zone and macrophyte zone (Figure 8), the total flux were 2.26 and 2.78 g m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively, which were still lower than reported value. The large discrepancy may have been contributed by two factors. First, previous studies mainly focus on lake littoral zones where vegetation is abundant and the flux is high [Xing et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009]. Second, the majority of the field measurements used by the synthesis study of Chen et al. [2013] were conducted during the warm season. Consistent with Natchimuthu et al. [2015], the high temporal and spatial variabilities documented here (Figures 3 and 4) emphasize the importance of yearlong and spatially distributed sampling in order to achieve unbiased results.

4.6. CH₄ Flux Comparison Based on Different Diffusivity Formulations

The diffusion CH₄ flux reported here was based on the wind-dependent gas transfer coefficient formula described by Cole and Caraco [1998]. We also compared the flux computed with several new models for the transfer coefficient. Although these models deploy empirical coefficients, they all calculate k as the sum of wind shear-driven and (waterside) convection-driven contributions. In our application, the shear-driven part was evaluated with either measured wind speed or (airside) friction velocity, and the convection part was evaluated with the heat flux in the water column which was calculated as the residual of the surface energy balance. On average, the contribution of waterside convection was less than 5%. The result is consistent with previous studies showing that gas transfer in large lakes is driven primarily by wind shear [Read et al., 2012]. The annual mean CH₄ diffusion fluxes based on different diffusivity formulations were 0.092 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ [Cole and Caraco, 1998], 0.103 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ [Read et al., 2012], 0.080 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ [Heiskanen et al., 2014], and 0.093 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ [Podgrajsek et al., 2015] (Figure S7). The good agreement suggests that even though the model given by Cole and Caraco [1998] uses wind as the only input variable, it may have captured implicitly the convection effect because its model coefficients were calibrated against diffusion flux data.

5. Conclusions

The field measurement in Lake Taihu over a 3 year period showed that the highest CH₄ fluxes occurred in Dongtaihu Bay, a zone dominated by submerged macrophytes, and in the highly eutrophic Northwest
Zone. Spatially, 78% of the flux variations were explained by water clarity, dissolved oxygen concentration, water depth, and NDVI.

In the Northwest Zone, which receives the majority (35%) of river nutrient discharge to the lake, neither of the water quality indices (chlorophyll concentration and dissolved oxygen concentration) nor water temperature were correlated with the observed temporal variability in the diffusion CH4 flux; instead, the variability in the diffusion CH4 flux was positively correlated with NDVI, a proxy measure of algal biomass. In comparison, the temporal variability in the diffusion flux in the East Zone showed high correlation with water temperature (R = 0.81, p < 0.01).

A comparison of the flux measured with the transfer coefficient method and that measured with the micro-meteorological methods showed that the ebullition contribution to the total flux was 71% ± 6% in the eutrophic zone (Meiliang Bay) and to 49% ± 8% in the submerged macrophyte zone (the East Zone). The large uncertainty, probably caused by the episodic nature of ebullition, emphasizes the need for more frequent measurements.

The annual whole-lake mean diffusion flux was 0.092 ± 0.052 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, which was an order of magnitude greater than the value suggested by the flux-size relationship found in the literature. However, the CH4 flux in this large and shallow eutrophic lake may be much lower than that reported in a synthesis study by Chen et al. [2013] for lakes in Eastern China.

Acknowledgments
The research was funded jointly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 4157147, 4147141, and 41505005), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PARD), the Ministry of Education of China (grant PCSIRT), and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (grant BK20150900). The first author acknowledges the support from Innovation Project for Graduate Students of Jiangsu Province (grant KYZZ15_0246). We thank the Editor Ankur Desai and the journal reviewers whose detailed comments have improved this paper. The data used in this study are available at http://yncenter.sites.yale.edu.

References


