### An Observational and Modeling Study of Characteristics of Urban Heat Island and Boundary Layer Structures in Beijing

### JOURNAL OF APPLIED METEOROLOGY AND CLIMATOLOGY

### SHIGUANG MIAO

Institute of Urban Meteorology, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China, and National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

### FEI CHEN, MARGARET A. LEMONE, AND MUKUL TEWARI

National Center for Atmospheric Research,\* Boulder, Colorado

#### QINGCHUN LI AND YINGCHUN WANG

Institute of Urban Meteorology, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China

8 July 2008

Reporter: Deli Qi

## 1. Introduction

- The well-recognized urban heat island (UHI) phenomenon, characterized by a temperature contrast between a city and its surrounding rural areas, is one prominent urban effect and can affect urban airflow, atmospheric boundary layer structures, and transport and dispersion of pollutants.
- The Greater Beijing metropolitan area, China, one of the 10 largest megacities in the world with a population of more than 10 million, has experienced a rapid urbanization in the last 20 years.
- The single-layer UCM is in the middle of the spectrum of urban modeling methods, and is a compromise between urban model complexity and computational resources required to execute urban models.
- 1) describe the general characteristics of urban heat island and PBL structures in the Beijing area
- 2) understand the degree to which the new-generation numerical weather prediction (NWP) model, the WRF Model, can capture these physical mechanisms
- 3) understand the impacts of urbanization on PBL properties.

# 2. Observed PBL structures for a summer clear day in Beijing



FIG. 1. The distribution of terrain height (m) centered on Beijing.The dashed rectangle shows the location of Beijing city.



FIG. 2. The distribution of surface observation stations (numbered symbols) over different land uses (various symbols) and the location of the Baolian wind profiler. Terrain height is shown with shading (m). The black contours delineate, from inner to outer, the second, fourth, and fifth beltways; the transverse line is Chang'an Street; and the open circle represents the position of Tian'an Men.



FIG. 4. Diurnal variation of UHI intensity  $(^{\circ}C)$ . The lines with open and closed circles are monthly mean values of air temperature differences between urban and rural stations for 31 days and 14 no-rain days in August 2005, respectively. The lines with open and closed squares are for 18 Aug 2005 from observation and from WRF model, respectively. The line with plus signs is the observed UHI intensity between station 203 and rural stations for 18 Aug 2005.



FIG. 5. Spatial distribution of 1-km MODIS land surface temperature departures ( $^{\circ}C$ ) from the domain mean valid at (a) 0445 UTC (1245 LST) 18 Aug 2005 and (b) 1825 UTC 18 Aug 2005 (0225 LST 19 Aug 2005). Shaded areas represent positive departures. The white squares represent water bodies.

Hence the noon UHI land surface temperature pattern is stronger than nighttime from this particular case. Note that this is different from the UHI of 2-m air temperature.



FIG. 6. The observed wind fields at 10 m AGL at 0000 UTC (0800 LST) in black, 0600 UTC (1400 LST) in red, 1200 UTC (2000 LST) in green, and 1800 UTC 18 Aug 2005 (0200 LST 19 Aug 2005) in blue.

### 3. WRF/Noah/UCM numerical simulations



- FIG. 7. Configuration of the 5 twoway nested domains for WRF/ Noah/UCM simulation.
  Shading is terrain height in meters.
  40.5 km (100 \* 100), 13.5 km (100
  - \*100), 4.5 km (100\*100), 1.5
  - km (100\* 100), and 0.5 km
  - (118 \* 109), respectively.



FIG. 8. The distribution of the (a) sky view factor (nondimensional) and (b) anthropogenic heat at 0800 LST in summer (W  $m^{-2}$ )

Land use	N_stn	Mean			Std dev			Mean bias		RMSE		IOA		HR	
		Obs	T2	TC	Obs	T2	TC	T2	TC	T2	TC	T2	TC	T2	TC
Cropland and pasture	6	23.44	22.60		4.56	3.59	-	-0.84		1.95	-	0.94		0.65	-
Mixed forest	2	22.52	23.39	<u> 25 - 25</u>	4.92	2.06	<u>19-19</u> )	0.87	<u>19</u> 25	3.62	<u>19-19</u>	0.75		0.29	<u>i -</u> 2
Open space	2	23.32	23.36	23.81	5.03	3.5	3.6	0.04	0.49	2.23	1.94	0.93	0.95	0.6	0.65
Low density	2	23.53	24.13	23.83	4.55	3.64	3.88	0.6	0.3	2.07	1.62	0.93	0.96	0.65	0.75
Medium density	4	24.49	24.7	24.12	4.45	3.42	3.59	0.21	-0.38	2.18	2.02	0.92	0.93	0.63	0.63
High density	11	24.59	25.18	24.58	3.69	3.28	3.34	0.59	-0.01	1.46	1.31	0.95	0.96	0.86	0.86
Mean	27	23.99	24.19	24.32	4.25	3.32	3.48	0.20	0.00	1.94	1.56	0.93	0.95	0.70	0.78

TABLE 1. Comparison of the simulated and observed 2-m temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ ; the criterion for hit rate calculation is 2 $^{\circ}C$ ). N\_stn: number of stations, mean: mean value, std dev: standard deviation, RMSE: root-mean-square error, IOA: index of agreement, HR: hit rate, Obs: Observation, T2: 2-m temperature, and TC: temperature in urban canopy.

Land use	N_stn	Mean			Std dev			Mean bias		RMSE		IOA		HR	
		Obs	U10	UC	Obs	U10	UC	U10	UC	U10	UC	U10	UC	U10	UC
Cropland and pasture	4	1.12	2.06	2000	0.72	0.86	3 <del>7 - 3</del> 3	0.95	200	1.46	8 <del>7 2</del> 8	0.43	<del></del>	0.40	
Mixed forest	2	0.82	2.21		0.82	0.91		1.38		1.87	_	0.32		0.33	
Open space	2	0.69	1.08	0.42	0.66	0.71	0.18	0.39	-0.27	0.96	0.67	0.55	0.54	0.73	0.9
Low density	2	0.81	1.09	0.39	0.7	0.6	0.14	0.27	-0.43	0.98	0.84	0.44	0.41	0.71	0.79
Medium density	4	0.9	1.05	0.47	0.62	0.6	0.18	0.15	-0.43	0.88	0.76	0.46	0.48	0.78	0.81
High density	9	1.1	0.93	0.55	0.66	0.52	0.22	-0.17	-0.55	0.93	0.93	0.5	0.46	0.68	0.69
Mean	23	0.98	1.25	0.50	0.68	0.65	0.20	0.30	-0.47	1.10	0.85	0.46	0.47	0.62	0.75

TABLE 2. Comparison of the simulated and observed 10-m wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>; the criterion for hit rate calculation is 1 m s<sup>-1</sup>). U10: 10-m wind speed; UC: wind speed in urban canopy.

Land use	N_stn	Mean			Std dev			Mean bias		RMSE		IOA		HR	
		Obs	Q2	QC	Obs	Q2	QC	Q2	QC	Q2	QC	Q2	QC	Q2	QC
Cropland and pasture	2	10.04	8.87	10-00-	1.49	1.56		-1.17		1.8		0.7		0.77	
Mixed forest	2	10.11	7.85		1.36	1.76		-2.26		2.78		0.53		0.35	-
Open space	2	10.31	8.46	8.46	1.29	1.89	1.9	-1.85	-1.86	2.39	2.4	0.62	0.61	0.58	0.56
Low density	2	9.83	8.29	8.31	1.55	1.7	1.7	-1.54	-1.53	2.22	2.22	0.65	0.64	0.63	0.63
Medium density	4	9.74	8.26	8.27	1.58	1.77	1.77	-1.48	-1.47	2.05	2.05	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.69
High density	2	9.55	8.05	8.05	1.39	1.94	1.94	-1.51	-1.51	2.02	2.02	0.7	0.7	0.48	0.48
Mean	14	9.90	8.56	8.27	1.46	1.77	1.82	-1.61	-1.57	2.19	2.15	0.66	0.67	0.60	0.61

TABLE 3. Comparison of the simulated and observed 2-m specific humidity (g kg<sup>-1</sup>; the criterion for hit rate calculation is 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Q2: 2-m specific humidity; QC: specific humidity in urban canopy.



FIG. 4. Diurnal variation of UHI intensity  $(^{\circ}C)$ . The lines with open and closed circles are monthly mean values of air temperature differences between urban and rural stations for 31 days and 14 no-rain days in August 2005, respectively. The lines with open and closed squares are for 18 Aug 2005 from observation and from WRF model, respectively. The line with plus signs is the observed UHI intensity between station 203 and rural stations for 18 Aug 2005.



FIG. 10. As in Fig. 5, but for WRF results.



FIG. 11. The simulated (blue vectors) and observed (red vectors) wind fields at the height of 10-m AGL in D05: (a) 0600 UTC (1400 LST), (b) 1200 UTC (2000 LST), (c) 1800 UTC 18 Aug 2005 (0200 LST), and (d) 0000 UTC (0800 LST) 19 Aug 2005.

# 4. Impacts of urbanization on surface weather variables and boundary layer structures



U2C :dry cropland
CTRL :control experiment
FU :high density land use
FIG. 14. The diurnal variation of some components of the surface energy balance (W m<sup>-2</sup>) from

energy balance (W m<sup>-2</sup>) from control and sensitivity cases (U2C and FU) averaged over the dashed rectangle area in Fig. 8a. GSW: net shortwave flux at ground surface, HFX: sensible heat flux, LH: latent heat flux, GRDFLX: ground heat flux, and AH: anthropogenic heat.



FIG. 15. The diurnal variation of the difference between (left) the two sensitivity cases and (right) the control case averaged over the dashed rectangle area in Fig. 8a: (a),(b) temperature (°C), (c),(d) wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>), and (e),(f) specific humidity (g kg<sup>-1</sup>).



FIG. 18. Horizontal distribution of vertical velocity (shaded; m s<sup>-1</sup>) and horizontal wind vectors (m s<sup>-1</sup>) at 0600 UTC (1400 LST) 18 Aug 2005, about 384 m AGL. Case (a) half building heights and (b) double building heights. Red line EF indicates the position of the cross section shown in Fig. 19.



FIG. 19. Cross section of vertical velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>) along line EF (shown in Figs. 8a, 18) at 0600 UTC (1400 LST) 18 Aug 2005. Case (a) half building heights, (b) double building heights, (c) half anthropogenic heat, and (d) double anthropogenic heat.

## 5. Summary and conclusions

- Synoptic weather patterns have more impact on UHI intensity in nighttime than in the daytime.Evaluation statistics indicate that urban canopy–level variables (e.g., temperature, wind speed) from WRF/Noah/UCM compare better with surface observations than traditional variables (e.g., 2-m temperature, 10-m wind speed).
- Local circulations for 18 August 2005 in the Beijing area are dominated by mountain– valley winds, which are modified by UHI circulations. The WRF/Noah/ UCM modeling system reproduces the timing of wind reversal reasonably well.
- Sensitivity tests for the present paper indicate that the increase of urban building height decreases the wavelength (spacing) of HCRs, while the increasing of anthropogenic heat increases the depth of HCRs. Hence the aspect ratio of HCRs in urban areas (~1.5) is smaller than the typical value over natural landscapes (2–15).
- Sensitivity tests with WRF/Noah/UCM show that the expansion of urbanized areas increases absorbed solar radiation, sensible heat flux, ground heat flux, and the anthropogenic heat source, while decreasing latent heat flux.

## THANK YOU!